

Sussex Research

A variant in CYP2R1 predicts circulating vitamin D levels after supplementation with high-dose of vitamin D in healthy adolescent girls

Sayyed Saeid Khayyatzadeh, Mehrane Mehramiz, Habibollah Esmaeily, Seyed Jamal Mirmousavi, Leila Khajavi, Fatemeh Nejati Salehkhani, Parichehr Hanachi, Hamidreza Bahrami-Taghanaki, Saeed Eslami, Hasan Vatanparast, Gordon Ferns, Amir Avan, Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan

Publication date

19-04-2019

Licence

This work is made available under the Copyright not evaluated licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Document Version

Accepted version

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Khayyatzadeh, S. S., Mehramiz, M., Esmaeily, H., Mirmousavi, S. J., Khajavi, L., Salehkhani, F. N., Hanachi, P., Bahrami-Taghanaki, H., Eslami, S., Vatanparast, H., Ferns, G., Avan, A., & Ghayour-Mobarhan, M. (2019). *A variant in CYP2R1 predicts circulating vitamin D levels after supplementation with high-dose of vitamin D in healthy adolescent girls* (Version 1). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23464979.v1

Published in

Journal of Cellular Physiology

Link to external publisher version https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.28083

Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

1 A variant in CYP2R1 predicts circulating vitamin D levels after supplementation with high-dose

2 of vitamin D in healthy adolescent girls.

Sayyed Saeid Khayyatzadeh^{1,2,3,*}, Mehrane Mehramiz^{1,4,*}, Habibollah Esmaeily^{5,*}, Seyed Jamal
 Mirmousavi⁶, Leila Khajavi¹, Fatemeh Nejati Salehkhani¹, Parichehr Hanachi⁷, Hamidreza

- 5 Bahrami-Taghanaki⁹, Saeed Eslami¹⁰, Hasan Vatanparast⁸, Gordon A. Ferns¹¹, Amir Avan^{1,4,#},
- 6 Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan^{1,#}
- 7

8 Affiliations:

- 9 1)Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 10 2) Nutrition and food security research centre, Shahid sadoughi university of medical sciences,
- 11 Yazd, Iran
- 3)Department of nutrition, faculty of health, Shahid sadoughi university of medical sciences, Yazd,
 Iran
- 14 4) Department of Modern Sciences and Technologies, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of
- 15 Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 16 5) Department of Biostatistics, School of Health, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, 17 Mashhad, Iran.
- 18 6) Community Medicine, Community Medicine Department, Medical School, Sabzevar University
- 19 of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, Iran.
- 20 7) Department of Biology, Biochemistry Unit, Al Zahra University, Tehran, IR Iran
- 8) Pharmaceutical Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical
 Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 9) Chinese and Complementary Medicine Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical
 Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
- 25 10) College of Pharmacy and Nutrition, University of Saskatchewan, Health Sciences E-Wing,
 26 Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.
- 27 11) Brighton & Sussex Medical School, Division of Medical Education, Falmer, Brighton, Sussex
 28 BN1 9PH, UK.
- 28 29

30 **#Corresponding authors:**

- 31 Majid Ghayour-Mobarhan, MD, PhD, Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Mashhad University
- 32 of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran, Tel: +985118002288, Fax: +985118002287; Email:
- 33 ghayourm@mums.ac.ir
- 34 Amir Avan, PhD, Metabolic Syndrome Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical
- 35 Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Tel: +985118002298, Fax: +985118002298; Email: avana@mums.ac.ir
- 36 & amir_avan@yahoo.com
- 37
- 38
- 39 * Equally contributed as the first author
- 40 **Running title:** Vitamin D, gene-dietary supplementation interaction.
- 41 **Grant:** This study was supported by a grant from Mashhad University of Medical Sciences
- 42 **Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose
- 43

46 Abstract:

47 Aim: The determinants of serum vitamin D seems to be environmental factors (dietary and 48 supplementary intake and exposure to ultraviolet light) and genetic factors. We aimed to study the 49 relationship between a vitamin D-associated genetic polymorphism and serum 25(OH)D 50 concentrations in healthy adolescent girls in Iran, and its effects on a high dose supplement of 51 vitamin D.

52 Material and method: A total of 616 healthy adolescent girls with mean age 15 received 50000 IU 53 of vitamin D3 weekly over 9 weeks. Serum vitamin D levels and other metabolic factors were 54 measured at baseline and after the intervention. The genotyping of the CYP2R1 variant 55 (rs10741657) was performed by TaqMan genotyping assays.

Results: Regardless of genetic background, at baseline, 87% of adolescent girls were vitamin D 56 57 deficient (serum 25(OH)D level<50nmol/l). High-dose supplementation with VitD reduced the proportion of girls who were deficient substantially to about 24%. Genetic analysis revealed that 58 59 although at baseline there was not a gene-vitamin D association (P trend=0.1), the response to supplementation appeared to be modulated by this variant (P trend<0.001). However, other 60 61 anthropometric and biochemical measures were not affected by this intervention, over this short period. Serum 25(OH)D was increased in all participants although the carriers of the minor A 62 63 allele seemed to be better responders so that the percentages of change serum vitamin D in the holder of AA and AG genotypes were 539.4±443.1and 443.7±384.6 respectively, compared to 64 those with common GG genotype (363.3±354.0). Our regression analysis revealed that the 65 probability of an increase in serum 25(OH)D in a participant with AA genotype was 2.5 fold 66 67 greater than those with a GG genotype (OR=2.5 (1.4-4.4); p value=0.002).

Conclusion: Based on our findings, it appears that the rs10741657 variant of the CYP2R1 gene
modulates the response to high-dose of vitamin D supplementation.

70 Keywords: CYP2R1, rs10741657, vitamin D, supplementation

71

72

73

74 Introduction

75 In human, the main sources of vitamin D are cutaneous synthesis and diet although it is influenced by other environmental factors including environmental factors and genetic background vitamin D 76 can be synthetize by either the skin, or through dietary intake, such as fatty fish, egg yolk, some 77 mushrooms. Meanwhile, the ultraviolet irradiance at northern latitudes is too low to produce 78 enough vitamin D over the winter season; therefore, the fortified foods with vitamin D and 79 supplements have been the effective ways to receive adequate vitamin D¹. Since the diet source of 80 vitamin D is rare, fortified foods with vitamin D and supplements have been the effective ways to 81 receive adequate vitamin D^{-2} . However, Vitamin D deficiency is a widespread public health 82 83 problem globally. This issue is related to clinical complications such as autoimmune diseases, various cancers, obesity, cardiovascular disorders, and metabolic syndrome and even pregnancy 84 outcome. Currently, serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations have been used to determine 85 vitamin D status, but due to lack of the accuracy in the diagnostic essay and the lack of reference 86 87 standard, this bio-factor is under questioned. However, the scientists cannot yet reach a consensus on the healthy range of serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in various population groups. 88 Growing bodies of evidence suggested the influences of environmental and genetic background on 89 vitamin D variation in people. Some studies have reported an inverse association between body 90 91 mass index (BMI) and variation in serum 25(OH)D level 3, 4, suggesting volumetric dilution, storage of vitD and up-regulation of the vitamin D receptor (VDR) in the adipose tissue might lead 92 to lower response to vitamin D intake in obese people4, 5; however, the results have been 93 94 controversial6, 7. Moreover, An age-related reduction in renal faction and also calcium absorption leads to declining in 1,25(OH)2D8, 9.On the other hand, studies on twins and their families have 95 revealed heritability of the serum vitamin D levels. Additionally, emerging evidence has studied 96 the genetic locus related to this hormone. Recently, several genetic determinants of circulating 97

vitamin D have been suggested, including Gc, CYP2R1 and CYP24A1, VDR, DHCR1 ¹⁰.
CYP2R1 accounts for the hydroxylation of vitamin D in the first stage of vitamin D activation¹¹
and researchers have attached importance to gene variants regarding vitamin D status ^{10, 12, 13}. The
current study was carried out to determine the potential effect of the rs10741657 polymorphism
located on chromosome 11p15.2, in terms of responding to high-dose vitamin D supplementation
in 616 healthy Iranian girls suffering from vitamin D deficiency.

104 Material and method

105 Study population

A cohort of 616 adolescent girls, with average age 15 years old, were recruited by a randomized cluster sampling method¹⁴. The study ran between January and April 2015 in Mashhad city, and consent forms were filled by all participants according to protocols approved by the Ethics Committee of the Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. The exclusion criteria were a history of the various chronic disease, receiving any kind of dietary supplementation, anti-depressant or psychotropic drugs. Subjects received 50,000 IU vitamin D/week for 9 weeks.

112 Anthropometric and biochemical measurements

Various anthropometric parameters including height (cm), body weight (kg) as described before. Moreover, biochemical factors; serum high sensitivity C-reactive protein (Hs-CRP), fasting blood glucose (FBG) and lipid profile; total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), Serum calcium (Ca), phosphate (P) were evaluated ¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Serum 25(OH) vitamin D level was measured using an electrochemi-luminescence method (ECL, Roche, Basel, Switzerland). (%). We categorized serum 25 (OH) D status as deficient for serum 25(OH)D level <50 nmol/l, sufficient for a serum 25(OH)</p> 120 D level between 50 to 75 nmol/l, and proposed optimal group with serum 25(OH)D level > 75

121 nmol/l. All measurements were done at baseline and following 9 weeks of intervention¹⁷.

122 DNA extraction and genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood samples using a QIAamp® DNA Mini-Kit (Qiagen, San 123 124 Diego, CA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The purity and concentration of DNA 125 samples were determined using the NanoDrop®-1000-Detector (NanoDrop-Technologies, Wilmington, USA). Genotyping analysis of CYP2R1-rs10741657 polymorphism was carried out 126 127 using a Taq-man®-probes-based assay; PCR reactions were performed in 12.5 ml total volume, using 20 ng of DNA in TaqMan®n Universal MasterMix with specific primers and probes 128 (Applied Biosystems Foster City, CA). We re-genotyped 10 per cent of samples, resulting in 129 100% reproducibility. The allelic content was evaluated using the ABIPRISM-7500 instrument 130 with the SDS version-2.0 software. 131

132 Statistics analysis

Normally distributed variables were reported as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), and non-133 parametric data was shown as median (Q3-Q1). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed for 134 the analysis of the normality of continuous variables. We also did an analysis of variance 135 (ANOVA) to compare changes in biomarkers after intervention in different genotypic groups. Post 136 hoc analysis was done using Tukey's test. A Chi-square test with continuity correction was used to 137 determine whether genotype frequencies followed the Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium. Moreover, to 138 investigate the effect of the genotypes, repeated measures analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was 139 used, together with a logistic regression model, we examined the probability of changes in serum 140 25(OH) D in various genetic models. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 20, IBM (SPSS Inc., 141 IL, USA), and significance was set at p < 0.05. 142

155

Influences of supplementation on circulation 25(OH) D in the total population, regardless of the genetic make-up

A shown in Figure 1, at baseline, the serum vitamin D in about 87% of the studied population was 146 147 <50nmol/L (vitamin D deficient), with approximately 19% and 6% in the vitamin D sufficient and proposed optimal categories, respectively. The proportion of individuals categorized as deficient 148 149 fell sharply after supplementation with high-dose of vitamin D, to approximately 20%. On the 150 other hand, the share of subjects having vitamin D at sufficient levels increased by about 13%. On 151 supplementation, the percentage of girls with a proposed optimal level of vitamin D increased to 60.5%. It is noteworthy that in total population mean±SD of serum 25(OH)D before 152 supplementation was 26.2±23.7 mg/dl and after supplementation became 90.0±42.2 mg/dl 153

154 Influences of supplementation on circulation 25(OH) D in CYP2R1 variant

To examine the influence of CYP2R1 variant on the circulation levels of vitamin D after the 156 157 intervention, subjects were categorized by rs10741657 genotype. There was no significant trend in the distribution of vitamin D status (proposed optimal, sufficiency and deficiency) among different 158 genotypes at baseline (P-trend = 0.1). However, supplementation for 9 weeks led to a significant 159 trend (P-trend =0.001) (Table 1), with a reduction in the percentage of subjects with a low serum 160 vitamin D. It appeared that responding to the serum 25 (OH) D was dependent on the genotype at 161 the CYP1 locus (Fig. 2); during the supplementation, serum (OH) D increased in all groups, but 162 carriers who had the common A allele, had higher vitamin D concentrations. Perhaps the SNP 163 164 rs10741657 modulated response to vitamin D supplementation (p-value of intervention 165 effect=0.001 and *p*-value of SNP effect=0.006) (Fig. 2). The results of the regression analysis also showed that in the additive model, the probability of increasing serum 25(OH)D, in individuals 166

who had the homozygous genotype AA was two and a half fold higher than those who were homozygous for the common GG genotype (OR=2.5 (1.4-4.4); p value=0.002). The regression model was also significant using a recessive model (OR=1.65 (1.1-2.4); p value=0.008) and dominant model (OR=2.05 (1.2-3.4); p value=0.007) (Table 3). Data was adjusted for potential confounders such as age, BMI, and season.

172 Influence of supplementation on metabolic profile in CYP2R1 variant

Further analysis showed that changes in various clinical and anthropometrics measures after intervention were not variant-dependent which meant that neither at baseline nor after the intervention, we could not see any difference among carriers of different genotypes (table 2). However, individuals possessing an uncommon "A" allele were better responder to supplementation than those with GG genotype in terms of serum 25(OH) D; the percentage of changes in serum 25(OH) D for participants with GG, AG, and AA genotypes were 363.3±354, 443.7±384.6 and 539.4±443.1 respectively (P value (GG vs AA/AG)=0.003).

180 **Discussion**

The purpose of the current study was to investigate whether a specific variant at the CYP2R1 locus 181 on chromosome 11p15.2 was associated with an altered response to high-dose of vitamin D 182 supplementation. Although at baseline, the distribution of individuals with different genotypes was 183 not statistically significant in different vitamin D groups, after the intervention, the changes in 184 serum 25 (OH)D did appear to be influenced by this variant. Our data showed that holders of less 185 186 common variant might be a better responder to vitamin D supplementation. The logistic model also 187 demonstrated that the likelihood of increase in serum 25(OH)D in the homozygotes of minor AA genotype might be 2.5 fold more than those with common GG genotypes. However, our data 188 189 revealed no changes in other biochemical parameters after the intervention.

190 It is becoming evident that the individual response to a dietary program varies dependent on genetic factors ¹⁸. There is growing evidence that genetic factors are determinants of vitamin D 191 192 status in different ethnic groups ¹⁹⁻²². Looking at potential determinants of 25(OH)D, our group previously reported a significant difference among different genotypes of CYP1 SNP rs10766197 193 in terms of responding to high-dose of vitamin D supplementation; the changes in serum 25 (OH) 194 D were much more in individuals with common GG genotype; however, this intervention 195 deteriorate inflammation status in the holder of this genotype ¹⁷. Similarly, a German study 196 demonstrated an association between serum vitamin D and the rs10741657 SNP¹². Another study 197 conducted on individuals with gestational diabetes mellitus suggested that both genetic 198 susceptibility and uterine environment appeared to be involved in GDM¹³. Arabi et.al examined 199 influences of 2 different doses of VitD supplementation in 218 overweight individuals in the 200 elderly population (>60 years) in terms of skeletal measures. Accordingly, it seemed that in their 201 202 study, the serum 25(OH)D at baseline was related to CYP2R variants; however, these variants did not affect response to vitamin D supplementation²³. Bu et al. studied 49 SNPs in genes related to 203 metabolism of Vitamin D in 156 healthy Caucasian subjects, after adjusting for potential 204 confounders, they found that variants in the CYP2R1 and Gc genes appeared to modulate serum 205 25(OH)D²⁴. Nissen et.al demonstrated that variants in CYP2R1 and Gc genes might be associated 206 with circulating VitD and those haplotypes might lead to lower serum vitamin D in 201 healthy 207 Danish families ²⁵. 208

209 **Conclusion**:

We found that although the rs10741657 on CYP2R1 gene was not associated with baseline serum
25 (OH) D in healthy adolescent Iranian girls, it may modulate the response to high-dose vitamin
D supplementation so that participants with a minor AA genotype showed a higher level of vitamin
D concentration after supplementation.

214	Reference:				
215 216	1.	Holick MF. Environmental factors that influence the cutaneous production of vitamin D. <i>The American journal of clinical nutrition</i> 1995; 61 (3): 638S-645S.			
217 218 219	2.	Neuhouser ML. Dietary supplement use by American women: challenges in assessing patterns of use, motives and costs. <i>The Journal of nutrition</i> 2003; 133 (6): 1992S-1996S.			
220 221 222 223	3.	Blum M, Dallal GE, Dawson-Hughes B. Body size and serum 25 hydroxy vitamin D response to oral supplements in healthy older adults. <i>Journal of the American College of Nutrition</i> 2008; 27 (2): 274-279.			
224 225 226 227	4.	Gallagher JC, Yalamanchili V, Smith LM. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum 25OHD in thin and obese women. <i>The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology</i> 2013; 136 : 195-200.			
228 229 230	5.	Rosen CJ, Adams JS, Bikle DD, Black DM, Demay MB, Manson JE <i>et al.</i> The nonskeletal effects of vitamin D: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. <i>Endocrine reviews</i> 2012; 33 (3): 456-492.			
231 232 233 234	6.	Talwar SA, Aloia JF, Pollack S, Yeh JK. Dose response to vitamin D supplementation among postmenopausal African American women–. <i>The American journal of clinical nutrition</i> 2007; 86 (6): 1657-1662.			
235 236 237 238	7.	Zhao L-J, Zhou Y, Bu F, Travers-Gustafson D, Ye A, Xu X <i>et al.</i> Factors predicting vitamin D response variation in non-Hispanic white postmenopausal women. <i>The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism</i> 2012; 97 (8): 2699-2705.			
239 240	8.	Gallagher JC. Vitamin D and aging. <i>Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics</i> 2013; 42 (2): 319-332.			
241 242 243	9.	Veldurthy V, Wei R, Oz L, Dhawan P, Jeon YH, Christakos S. Vitamin D, calcium homeostasis and aging. <i>Bone research</i> 2016; 4: 16041.			
244 245 246	10.	Dastani Z, Li R, Richards B. Genetic regulation of vitamin D levels. <i>Calcified tissue international</i> 2013; 92 (2): 106-117.			
247 248 249	11.	Shinkyo R, Sakaki T, Kamakura M, Ohta M, Inouye K. Metabolism of vitamin D by human microsomal CYP2R1. <i>Biochemical and biophysical research communications</i> 2004; 324 (1): 451-457.			
250 251 252 253	12.	Ramos-Lopez E, Brück P, Jansen T, Herwig J, Badenhoop K. CYP2R1 (vitamin D 25-hydroxylase) gene is associated with susceptibility to type 1 diabetes and vitamin D levels in Germans. <i>Diabetes/metabolism research and reviews</i> 2007; 23 (8): 631-636.			
254					

255 13. Ramos-Lopez E, Kahles H, Weber S, Kukic A, Penna-Martinez M, Badenhoop K et al. Gestational 256 diabetes mellitus and vitamin D deficiency: genetic contribution of CYP27B1 and CYP2R1 257 polymorphisms. Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism 2008; 10(8): 683-685. 258 259 14. Barami A, Mehramiz M, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Bahrami-Taghanaki H, Ferns G, Sadeghnia H et al. 260 A cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily R member 1 gene variant determines response to vitamin D 261 after 12 weeks supplementation. Clinical Nutrition 2018. 262 263 15. Bahrami A, Mazloum SR, Maghsoudi S, Soleimani D, Khayyatzadeh SS, Arekhi S et al. High Dose 264 Vitamin D Supplementation Is Associated With a Reduction in Depression Score Among 265 Adolescent Girls: A Nine-Week Follow-Up Study. Journal of Dietary Supplements 2017: 1-10. 266 267 16. Tabatabaeizadeh SA, Avan A, Bahrami A, Khodashenas E, Esmaeili H, Ferns GA et al. High-dose 268 supplementation of vitamin D affects measures of systemic inflammation: reductions in 269 High-Sensitivity C-Reactive Protein level and Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) distribution. 270 Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 2017. 271 272 17. Bahrami A, Mehramiz M, Ghayour-Mobarhan M, Bahrami-Taghanaki H, Ardekani KS, Tayefi M et 273 al. A genetic variant in the cytochrome P450 family 2 subfamily R member 1 determines response 274 to vitamin D supplementation. Clinical Nutrition 2018. 275 276 18. German JB, Zivkovic AM, Dallas DC, Smilowitz JT. Nutrigenomics and personalized diets: What will 277 they mean for food? Annual review of food science and technology 2011; 2: 97-123. 278 279 19. Wjst M, Altmüller J, Braig C, Bahnweg M, André E. A genome-wide linkage scan for 25-OH-D3 and 280 1, 25-(OH) 2-D3 serum levels in asthma families. The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular 281 biology 2007; 103(3-5): 799-802. 282 283 20. Engelman CD, Fingerlin TE, Langefeld CD, Hicks PJ, Rich SS, Wagenknecht LE et al. Genetic and 284 environmental determinants of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels in 285 Hispanic and African Americans. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2008; 93(9): 286 3381-3388. 287 288 Karohl C, Su S, Kumari M, Tangpricha V, Veledar E, Vaccarino V et al. Heritability and seasonal 21. 289 variability of vitamin D concentrations in male twins-. The American journal of clinical nutrition 290 2010; 92(6): 1393-1398. 291 292 22. Shea M, Benjamin E, Dupuis J, Massaro J, Jacques P, D'Agostino Sr R et al. Genetic and non-genetic 293 correlates of vitamins K and D. European journal of clinical nutrition 2009; 63(4): 458. 294 295 23. Arabi A, Khoueiry-Zgheib N, Awada Z, Mahfouz R, Al-Shaar L, Hoteit M et al. CYP2R1 296 polymorphisms are important modulators of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels in elderly

297 298		females with vitamin insufficiency, but not of the response to vitamin D supplementation. <i>Osteoporosis International</i> 2017; 28 (1): 279-290.			
299 300 301 302	24.	Bu F-X, Armas L, Lappe J, Zhou Y, Gao G, Wang H-W <i>et al.</i> Comprehensive association analysis of nine candidate genes with serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels among healthy Caucasian subjects. <i>Human genetics</i> 2010; 128 (5): 549-556.			
303 304 305 306	25.	Nissen J, Rasmussen LB, Ravn-Haren G, Andersen EW, Hansen B, Andersen R <i>et al.</i> Common variants in CYP2R1 and GC genes predict vitamin D concentrations in healthy Danish children and adults. <i>PloS one</i> 2014; 9 (2): e89907.			
307					
308					
309					
310					
311					
312					
313					
314					
315					
316					
317 318 319	 Figure 1. Comparison of the vitamin D status categories before and after 9 weeks of vitamin D supplementation in the total population. Deficiency: Serum 25(OH)D level<50nmol/L. Sufficiency: 50nmol/L<serum 25(oh)d="" l.="" level<75nmol="" optimal="" proposed="">75nmol/L.</serum> 				
320					
321					
322					
323					
324 325	Table 1. rs10741	Vitamin D status groups before and after 9 weeks of vitamin D supplementation according to CYP2R1- 657 genotypes.			
326					
327					
328					
329					
330	Table 2.	Comparisons of the variables before and after 9 weeks of vitamin D supplementation			
331					

332

- 333
- 334
- 335
-
- 336

 $\textbf{337} \qquad \textbf{Fig.2.Serum 25} (OH) \textbf{D} \text{ stratified by a polymorphism in the CYP1 gene. Values are means } \pm \textbf{SD}. \text{ Two-way ANCOVA}$

repeated measures adjusted for multiple comparisons by Bonferroni test for serum 25(OH)D levels. Covariates used:
 age, gender, physical activity, smoking status.

- 340
- 341

342 Table 3. Association of CYP2R1 gene rs10741657 variant with changes in serum vitamin D After 9 weeks

343 supplementation (under different genetic models).

Vitamin D status	GG (N=269)		AG (N=261)		AA (N=86)	
(N=616)	Baseline	Follow-up	Baseline	Follow-up	Baseline	Follow-up
Proposed optimal	13 (4.8)	111 (53.9)	15 (5.7)	140 (63.3)	10 (11.6)	71 (82.7)
Sufficiency	18 (6.7)	34 (16.5)	15 (5.7)	46 (20.8)	8 (9.3)	13(14.7)
deficiency	238 (88.5)	61 (26.9)	231 (88.5)	35 (15.8)	68 (79.1)	<mark>2 (2.7)</mark>
Note: $\Sigma 2$ test showed a P _{tre}	nd of 0.1 at baseline	e; P _{trend} at 9- wee	<mark>ek</mark> follow-up is <	<0.001 Data is prese	ented as frequencie	s (%). Deficiency:
Serum $25(OH)D$ level < 50) nmol/l. Sufficien	cy: Serum 25(C	H) D level betw	een 50 to 75 nmol/l	. Proposed optima	l: Serum 25(OH)D
level > 75 nmol/l.						
344						
345						
246						
540						
347						
0.17						
348						
349						
250						
350						
351						
352						
353						
354						
354						
355						
000						
356						
357						
250						
358						

- - -

Table 2. Comparisons of the variables before and after 9 weeks of vitamin D supplementation

Variable		GG(n=269)	AG(n=261)	AA (n=87)			
	Anthrop	pometric					
	Baseline	21.9±4.2	21.6±4.4	21.7±4			
BMI (kg/m ²)	After 9 weeks	21.7±4.2	21.6±4.4	21.5±4			
	Change (%)	-0.1±6.6	-0.2±5.1	-0.8±3.9			
	Baseline	0.8±0.07	0.8±0.07	0.8±0.07			
WHR(cm)	After 9 weeks	0.8±0.3	0.8±0.1	0.8±0.1			
	Change (%)	1.9±4.1	-0.6±9.3	0.6±6.9			
	Baseline	101.2±12.1	101.3±13.1	99.1±12.6			
SBP(mmHg)	After 9 weeks	100.9±12.3	100.1±13.6	99.6±12.6			
	Change (%)	0.2±13.1	-0.5±14	1.2±15			
	Baseline	68.4±9.7	66.9±11.4	66.5±9.8			
DBP(mmHg)	After 9 weeks	66.0±10.1	64.9±9.9	62.4±11.2			
	Lipid	profile					
	Baseline	165.3±28.2	162.6±28.4	162.1±26.8			
Cholesterol(mg/dl)	After 9 weeks	154.7±27.9	153.9±27	151.2±28.2			
Cholesterol(ling/df)	Change (%)	-5.2±19.6	-4.4±13.9	-3.9±14.1			
	Baseline	81.7±33.4	82.6±35.1	80.9±35.3			
TG(mg/dl)	After 9 weeks	77.7±33	81.1±32.0	73.5±28			
	Change (%)	-0.3±33	4.8±32.5	-1.05 ± 31.4			
	Baseline	48.2±9.1	45.4±8.4	45.5±7.3			
HDL(mg/dl)	After 9 weeks	47.2±8.7	45.3±8.4	45.04±7			
	Change (%)	-3±14.3	-2.3±15	0.8±15.7			
	Baseline	102.1±22.7	100.2±23.8	99.7±20.7			
LDL(mg/dl)	After 9 weeks	92.6±20	91.2±32	90.0±24.4			
	Change (%)	-8.5±19	-7.2±20	-7±20.9			
	Baseline	88.6±11.7	87.1±12	85.9±9.4			
FBS(mg/dl)	After 9 weeks	87.1±12	86.8±11.6	83.9±10			
	Change (%)	-1.4±13	-1.4±12	-3.1±11.3			
Inflammatory measures							
	Baseline	6.35±3.3	6.3±1.7	6.1±1.7			
WBC(10 ⁹ /L)	After 9 weeks	5.7±1.5	6.07±1.4	5.9±1.5			
	Change (%)	-0.2±3.4	3.3±3	5.5±4.4			
	Baseline	1.4±1.7	1.47±1.9	1.6±2.1			
Hs-CRP(mg/L)	After 9 weeks	1.5±1.44	1.52±1.5	1.4±1.2			
	Change (%)	0.9±2.6	1±2.9	0.6±1.9			
Serum electrolytes							
VitD*(nmol/L)	Baseline	26.0±23.0	26.0±24.1	30.6±28.7			
vitD*(nmol/L)	After 9 weeks*	81.1±42.9	91.1±40.4	111.6±37.3			

	Change (%)**	363.3±354.0	443.7±384.6	539.4±443.1
	Baseline	9.34±0.6	9.5±0.5	9.4±0.5
Ca(mg/dL)	After 9 weeks	9.6±0.5	9.7±0.5	9.7±0.4
	Change (%)	3.3±7.7	2.3±8	2.1±7
	Baseline	3.91±0.4	3.9±0.4	3.8±0.4
Phosphorus(mg/dL)	After 9 weeks	4.09±0.36	4.1±0.4	4.05±0.4
	Change (%)	5.3±11.3	5.3±9.8	6.2±11
	Baseline	0.6±0.1	0.6±0.09	0.65±0.09
Creatinine(mg/dL)	After 9 weeks	0.7±0.08	0.7±0.09	0.7±0.08
	Change (%)	13.3±38	9.6±14.2	8.9±14
	Baseline	12.09±3.04	12.6±3.02	12.1±2.7
BUN(mg/dL)	After 9 weeks	13.9±3.3	14.03±3.3	12.9±3.4
	Change (%)	29.8±143	22.1±12	11.1±32

Note: Change = ((Follow up – Baseline)/Baseline)/100; Co-dominant genetic model (GG genotype vs. AG+AA genotypes); Dominant genetic model (GG+AG genotypes vs. AA genotype). *P value $_{(GG vs AA'AG)}<0.001$, **P value $_{(GG vs AA'AG)}=0.003$

Table 3. Association of CYP2R1 gene rs10741657 variant with changes in serum vitamin D After 9 weeks supplementation in Iranian population (under different genetic models).

Additive model	GG	AG		AA	
	Reference (Common genotype)	OR (CI95%), <i>p</i> value		OR (CI95%), <i>p</i> value	
	1	1.7 (0.9-3), 0.05		2.5 (1.4-4.4), 0.002	
/e	GG/AG		AA		
ssiv	Reference		OR (CI95%), p value		
Rece	1		1.65 (1.1-2,4), 0.008		
Dominant	GG		AA/AG		
	Reference		OR (CI95%), <i>p</i> value		
	1		2.05 (1.2-3.4), 0.007		

Data was adjusted for age, BMI percentile, physical activity, passive smoking.



Figure. 1





Figure. 2