

Sussex Research

Clinical outcomes after first-line HIV treatment failure in South Africa: the next cascade of care

Collins Iwuji, M Shahmanesh, O Koole, K Herbst, D Pillay, M J Siedner, K Baisley, H-DREAM Network

Publication date

07-06-2023

Licence

This work is made available under the CC BY 4.0 licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Document Version

Published version

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Iwuji, C., Shahmanesh, M., Koole, O., Herbst, K., Pillay, D., Siedner, M. J., Baisley, K., & H-DREAM Network, . (2020). *Clinical outcomes after first-line HIV treatment failure in South Africa: the next cascade of care* (Version 1). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23306951.v1

Published in HIV Medicine

Link to external publisher version https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12877

Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

Clinic of ART	N starting	n with VL at 6m	n with VL at 12m	n with VL at 24m	N (%) with optimal	N (%) with optimal
initiation	ART ¹	/ N on ART ² (%)	/ N on ART ³ (%)	/ N on ART ⁴ (%)	monitoring to 12m ⁵	monitoring to 24m ⁶
Clinic 1	1515	575 / 1313 (43.8%)	459 / 1245 (36.9%)	290 / 979 (29.6%)	120 (9.6 %)	36 (3.7 %)
Clinic 2	1354	564 / 1117 (50.5%)	531 / 1060 (50.1%)	265 / 854 (31.0%)	280 (26.4%)	45 (5.3 %)
Clinic 3	1060	318 / 904 (35.2%)	266 / 844 (31.5%)	150 / 658 (22.8%)	80 (9.5 %)	10 (1.5 %)
Clinic 4	803	145 / 681 (21.3%)	228 / 648 (35.2%)	105 / 472 (22.2%)	39 (6.0 %)	6 (1.3 %)
Clinic 5	239	92 / 206 (44.7%)	96 / 193 (49.7%)	57 / 154 (37.0%)	40 (20.7%)	11 (7.1 %)
Clinic 6	1072	231 / 898 (25.7%)	288 / 850 (33.9%)	130 / 623 (20.9%)	33 (3.9 %)	3 (0.5 %)
Clinic 7	627	278 / 489 (56.9%)	190 / 463 (41.0%)	114 / 349 (32.7%)	94 (20.3%)	29 (8.3 %)
Clinic 8	5157	1619 / 4141 (39.1%)	1269 / 3918 (32.4%)	717 / 3035 (23.6%)	445 (11.4%)	192 (6.3 %)
Clinic 9	681	340 / 577 (58.9%)	125 / 554 (22.6%)	83 / 438 (18.9%)	46 (8.3 %)	12 (2.7 %)
Clinic 10	1102	311 / 921 (33.8%)	252 / 870 (29.0%)	121 / 666 (18.2%)	60 (6.9 %)	3 (0.5 %)
Clinic 11	1570	653 / 1332 (49.0%)	422 / 1256 (33.6%)	267 / 926 (28.8%)	136 (10.8%)	23 (2.5 %)
Clinic 12	3851	1233 / 3474 (35.5%)	866 / 3298 (26.3%)	475 / 2386 (19.9%)	176 (5.3 %)	33 (1.4 %)
Clinic 13	1871	460 / 1542 (29.8%)	362 / 1449 (25.0%)	245 / 1117 (21.9%)	39 (2.7 %)	6 (0.5 %)
Clinic 14	493	245 / 396 (61.9%)	204 / 375 (54.4%)	142 / 308 (46.1%)	127 (33.9%)	55 (17.9%)
Clinic 15	2084	982 / 1755 (56.0%)	853 / 1669 (51.1%)	415 / 1282 (32.4%)	482 (28.9%)	169 (13.2%)
Clinic 16	1779	809 / 1537 (52.6%)	664 / 1467 (45.3%)	404 / 1097 (36.8%)	355 (24.2%)	114 (10.4%)
Clinic 17	1113	408 / 917 (44.5%)	310 / 851 (36.4%)	150 / 355 (42.3%)	82 (9.6 %)	16 (4.5 %)
Total	26,371	9263/22,200 (41.7%)	7385/21,010 (35.1%)	4130/15,699 (26.3%)	2634 (12.5%)	1024 (6.5 %)

Supplementary Table 1. Proportion of individuals aged ≥15 years with viral load monitoring, and with optimal monitoring, during first 12m and 24m on ART, by clinic¹

¹Excludes 3013 patients initiated on ART at the hospital rather than clinics. ²Viral load measurement done between >20 weeks to 9 months after ART start. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 9m. ³Viral load measurement done between >9 months to 15 months after ART start. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 27m. Excludes individuals who started ART in 2016. ⁵Optimal monitoring during first 12m is defined as a viral load measurement at 6 months and 12 months after ART initiation, using the windows in footnotes 1 and 2. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 15 months. ⁶Optimal monitoring during the first 24m is defined as a viral load measurement at 6m, 12m and 24m after ART initiation, using windows in footnotes 2, 3 and 4. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 27 months.

Year of ART initiation	N starting ART	N continuously in care for 12m (% of those started) ¹	N continuously in care for 24 months (% of those started)	n with VL at 12m ² (%)	n with VL at 24m ³ (%)	N (%) with optimal monitoring to 12m ⁴	N (%) with optimal monitoring to 24m ⁴
2010	3177	2090 (65.8%)	1812 (57.0%)	811 (38.8%)	751 (41.4%)	341 (16.3%)	203 (11.2%)
2011	3543	2517 (71.0%)	2089 (59.0%)	955 (37.9%)	678 (32.5%)	358 (14.2%)	142 (6.8 %)
2012	4040	2765 (68.4%)	2283 (56.5%)	897 (32.4%)	722 (31.6%)	314 (11.4%)	135 (5.9 %)
2013	3642	1955 (53.7%)	1478 (40.6%)	672 (34.4%)	546 (36.9%)	194 (9.9 %)	87 (5.9 %)
2014	3988	2091 (52.4%)	1487 (37.3%)	822 (39.3%)	408 (27.4%)	211 (10.1%)	61 (4.1 %)
2015	5556	3053 (54.9%)	2095 (37.7%)	1062 (34.8%)	289 (13.8%)	429 (14.1%)	65 (3.1 %)
2016	5438	2842 (52.3%)	-	1307 (46.0%)	-	568 (20.0%)	-
Total	29,384	17,313 (58.9%)	11,244 (38.3%)	6526 (37.7%)	3394 (30.2%)	2415 (13.9%)	693 (6.2 %)

Supplementary table 2. Proportion of individuals aged ≥15 years with viral load monitoring, and with optimal monitoring, during first 12m and 24m on ART, among those who have been continuously in care without interruption

¹Individuals who have been attending clinic at all scheduled visits for at least 15m, or at least 27m (to allow for +3m window). ²Viral load measurement done between >9 months to 15 months after ART start. Denominator is individuals who have been continuously in care for at least 15m. ³Viral load measurement done between >21 months to 27 months after ART start. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 27m. ⁴Optimal monitoring during first 12m is defined as a viral load measurement at 6 months and 12 months after ART initiation, using a + / -3m window at each time-point. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 15 months. ⁵Optimal monitoring during the first 24m is defined as a viral load measurement at 6m, 12m and 24m after ART initiation, using a + / -3m window at each time-point. Denominator is individuals who have been on ART at least 27 months.

Year of ART	N starting ART	N still in care at 6m	N still in care at 12m	N still in care at 24 m
initiation		(%) ¹	(%) ¹	(%) ¹
2010	3177	2495 (78.5%)	2354 (74.1%)	2150 (67.7%)
2011	3543	2961 (83.6%)	2823 (79.7%)	2597 (73.3%)
2012	4040	3406 (84.3%)	3235 (80.1%)	2993 (74.1%)
2013	3642	2897 (79.5%)	2741 (75.3%)	2523 (69.3%)
2014	3988	3231 (81.0%)	3045 (76.4%)	2740 (68.7%)
2015	5556	4695 (84.5%)	4428 (79.7%)	3962 (71.3%)
2016	5438	4514 (83.0%)	4181 (76.9%)	-
Total	29,384	24,199 (82.4%)	22,807 (77.6%)	16,965 (70.8%) ²

Supplementary Table 3. Proportion of individuals aged ≥15 years starting ART in each year, and still in care at 6, 12 and 24 months

¹Individuals who are attending the clinic (with or without interruptions in care) for at least 9m, 15m or 27m. ²Among 23,946 individuals starting ART from 2010-2015

Supplementary Table 4. Individuals aged ≥15 years with viral load ≥1000, and proportion with repeat test in 6 or 12 months, among individuals starting ART in 2010-2016

Year of ART initiation	N with any VL test ¹	N (%) with VL ≥1000²	N (%) with repeat test in 6m ³	N (%) with VL ≥1000 on repeat	N (%) switched to second line ⁵	Median (IQR) days to switch	Switched or VL <1000 on repeat
				test ⁴			test ⁴
2010	2328	433 (18.6%)	142 (32.8%)	96 (67.6%)	50 (52.1%)	526 (246-1004)	96 (67.6%)
2011	2754	398 (14.5%)	121 (30.4%)	78 (64.5%)	38 (48.7%)	221.5 (100-567)	81 (66.9%)
2012	3037	383 (12.6%)	102 (26.6%)	68 (66.7%)	24 (35.3%)	247.5 (99.5-500.5)	58 (56.9%)
2013	2279	264 (11.6%)	56 (21.2%)	29 (51.8%)	6 (20.7%)	148.5 (93-963)	33 (58.9%)
2014	2298	231 (10.1%)	76 (32.9%)	43 (56.6%)	12 (27.9%)	520 (204-709.5)	45 (59.2%)
2015	3334	201 (6.0 %)	74 (36.8%)	41 (55.4%)	8 (19.5%)	102.5 (43.5-324.5)	41 (55.4%)
2016	3552	225 (6.3 %)	87 (38.7%)	53 (60.9%)	3 (5.7 %)	338 (283-363)	37 (42.5%)
Total	19,582	2135 (10.9%)	658 (30.8%)	408 (62.0%)	141 (34.6%)	338 (135-713)	391 (59.4%)

¹Viral load measurement done at any point >20 weeks after ART start. ²Among individuals with at least one VL test >20 weeks after ART start. ³Repeat viral load done between 10-30 weeks after VL \geq 1000, among those with VL measurement \geq 1000. ⁴Among those with repeat test in 10-30 weeks. ⁵Among those with repeat VL \geq 1000.

	n success / N(%) ¹	Crude odds ratio	Adjusted odds ratio
		(95% CI)	(95%CI) ²
Age at VL ≥1000 ³		P=0.39 ⁴	P=0.14 ⁴
15-24 years	78 / 365 (21.4%)	1.22 (0.91 -1.62)	1.34 (0.99 -1.83)
25-34 years	162 / 937 (17.3%)	1	1
35-44 years	87 / 534 (16.3%)	0.89 (0.73 -1.07)	0.84 (0.68 -1.03)
≥45 years	64 / 299 (21.4%)	0.94 (0.75 -1.17)	0.91 (0.71 -1.16)
Sex		P=0.008	P=0.11
Male	116 / 757 (15.3%)	1	1
Female	275 / 1378 (20.0%)	1.38 (1.09 -1.75)	1.23 (0.95 -1.60)
Duration on ART ³		P<0.001 ⁵	P<0.001 ⁵
< 2 years	17 / 299 (5.7 %)	0.77 (0.66 -0.90)	0.78 (0.67 -0.90)
2 - <5 years	141 / 876 (16.1%)	1	1
5 - <7 years	92 / 489 (18.8%)	2.05 (1.53 -2.76)	2.05 (1.52 -2.75)
≥7 years	141 / 471 (29.9%)	2.99 (2.25 -3.98)	3.06 (2.28 -4.09)
ART regimen when failing		P=0.59	P=0.91
TDF, FTC, EFV	194 / 1131 (17.2%)	1	1
TDF, EFV, 3TC	114 / 601 (19.0%)	1.13 (0.88 -1.46)	0.87 (0.66 -1.14)
ABC, EFV, 3TC	3 / 24 (12.5%)	0.69 (0.20 -2.34)	0.67 (0.19 -2.34)
AZT, EFV, 3TC	6 / 30 (20.0%)	1.21 (0.49 -2.99)	1.07 (0.42 -2.74)
Other	3 / 14 (21.4%)	1.32 (0.36 -4.77)	1.05 (0.28 - 3.92)
Unknown	71 / 335 (21.2%)	1.30 (0.96 -1.76)	0.99 (0.71 -1.37)

Supplementary Table 5. Factors associated with success in the first line cascade, among 2135 individuals aged ≥15 years with a viral load ≥1000 copies/mL

¹Success defined as repeat measurement within 6 months, and viral load <1000 on repeat testing, or switch to appropriate second line therapy. Denominator is all individuals with a viral load \geq 1000. ²Adjusted for age, sex, duration on ART and ART regimen when failing. ³Continuous covariates (age and duration on ART) modelled by restricted cubic splines with 3 knots; n (%) success in each age group shown for information only. ⁴Odds ratios are presented at selected values of age, comparing the minimum value in each age group to age 25 as the reference. ⁵Odds ratios presented at selected values of time on ART, comparing the minimum value in each group to 2 years as the reference.