US
UNIVERSITY OF SUSSEX

Sussex Research

Developing consensus of evidence to target case finding surveys for podoconiosis: a potentially forgotten disease in India

Hope Simpson, K N Panicker, Leyanna Susan George, Jorge Cano, Melanie Newport, Gail Davey, Kebede Kassaye

Publication date
07-06-2023

Licence
This work is made available under the CC BY 4.0 licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Document Version
Published version

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)
Simpson, H., Panicker, K. N., George, L. S., Cano, J., Newport, M., Davey, G., & Kassaye, K. (2020). *Developing consensus of evidence to target case finding surveys for podoconiosis: a potentially forgotten disease in India* (Version 2). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23308292.v2

Published in
Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene

Link to external publisher version
https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traa064

Copyright and reuse:
This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

Highly suitable

More deprived							Less deprived				
Lymphoedema	No info on Lymphoedema			No Lymphoedema			Lymphoedema	No info on Lymphoedema	No Lymphoedema		
No info on MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP
High	High	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Low
10	7	2	2	9	21	4	2	2	39	1	1

Moderately suitable

More deprived							Less deprived					
Lymphoedema		No info on Lymphoedema		No Lymphoedema			Lymphoedema			No Lymphoedema		
No MMDP	No info on MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP
High	Medium	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Very low	High	Medium	Low	Low	Very low	Very low
3	9	2	2	15	23	2	8	3	1	26	6	1

Not suitable

More deprived			Less deprived			
Lymphoedema		No Lymphoedema	Lymphoedema			No Lymphoedema
No MMDP	No info on MMDP	No MMDP	No MMDP	No info on MMDP	MMDP	No MMDP
High	Medium	Low	Medium	Low	Low	Low
7	15	1	12	8	2	40

Legend	Highest level of criterion	Intermediate level of criterion	Lowest level of criterion	Evidence category	Number of districts