

Sussex Research

Herbivore specific induction of silica-based plant defences

Fergus Massey, A. Ennos, Susan Hartley

Publication date

01-01-2007

Licence

This work is made available under the Copyright not evaluated licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Massey, F., Ennos, A., & Hartley, S. (2007). *Herbivore specific induction of silica-based plant defences* (Version 1). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23312579.v1

Published in Oecologia

----<u>g</u>...

Link to external publisher version https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0703-5

Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

1	Herbivore specific induction of silica-based plant defences
2	
3	Running title: Herbivore induced silica defences
4	
5	Fergus P. Massey ^{1*} , A. Roland Ennos ² and Sue E. Hartley ¹
6	
7	¹ Department of Biology and Environmental Science, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton,
8	East Sussex, BN1 9QG
9	Tel. 01273 873502, Fax. 01273 6784333
10	
11	*email: F.P.Massey@sussex.ac.uk
12	
13	² Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, 3.614 Stopford Building, Oxford Road,
14	Manchester, M13 9PT

1 Abstract

2 Induced plant responses to herbivory have major impacts on herbivore feeding behaviour, 3 performance and population dynamics. These effects are well established for chemical defences, 4 but induction of physical defences remains far less studied. However, for many plants it is 5 physical defences that play the major role in regulating the levels of herbivore damage sustained. 6 We provide evidence that in grasses induction of physical defences is both specific to herbivore 7 feeding, as opposed to mechanical damage, and is may be dependent on the amount of damage 8 imposed. Furthermore, we show that the magnitude of the induction response is sufficient to deter 9 further damage and affect herbivore performance. We compared silica induction in two grass 10 species in response to vertebrate and invertebrate damage, and to mechanical defoliation. 11 Induction was assessed at two levels of damage over 16 months. Foliar silica content did not 12 increase in response to mechanical defoliation, but damage by either voles or locusts resulted 13 increases in silica content of over 400%. This increase deterred feeding by both voles and locusts. 14 Silica induction in grasses due to repeated damage events over a prolonged period suggests a 15 possible role for silica defence in the cyclical population fluctuations observed in many grass-16 feeding herbivores.

17

18 Key words: antiherbivore defences, feeding preference, induction, *Microtus*, physical defences,
19 phytoliths, *Schistocerca*.

1 Introduction

2 Induction of defences in response to herbivore damage is widely recognized as an effective plant 3 defence strategy; particularly in cases where defences are costly or the threat of herbivore attack 4 is intermittent and predictable from previous damage (Karban and Baldwin 1997; Karban et al. 5 1999). Such defences can have profound impacts on the feeding behaviour, growth and 6 population dynamics of herbivores (Haukioja 1991; Underwood 1999). Much previous work has 7 focused on the induction of chemical defences, and on the induction strategies of woody species, 8 with induction thought to be of less significance for grasses, where levels of chemical defences 9 are often low. Physical defences such as silica are considered more important than chemical 10 defences in deterring herbivory in grasses (McNaughton and Tarrants 1983, Vicari and Bazely 11 1993), but little is known about the induction of these physical defences or the effectiveness of 12 such induction against herbivores. Silica has been shown to deter feeding by both vertebrate and 13 invertebrate herbivores (Gali-Muhtasib et al. 1992; Massey et al. 2006; Massey and Hartley 14 2006). Further, it is known to reduce foliage digestibility and hence, to reduce the growth rates of 15 both insect and mammalian herbivores (Massey et al. 2006; Massey and Hartley 2006). Silica is 16 central to the interactions between grasses and grazers (McNaughton 1984; Jernvall and Fortelius 17 2002; Prasad et al. 2005), but almost nothing is known about the magnitude of silica induction in 18 response to herbivory, its effects on subsequent feeding, and hence its effectiveness as an induced 19 defence strategy in grasses.

20

To date, only two studies have found evidence for induction of silica (McNaughton and Tarrants 1983; McNaughton et al. 1985). This may be due to the fact that most studies examining potential damage-induced silica deposition in grass leaves have tested induction in response to mechanical damage (McNaughton and Tarrants 1983; McNaughton et al. 1985; Brizuela et al. 1986; Cid et al. 1989; Cid et al. 1990; Banuelos and Obeso 2000). It has long been known that artificial damage can elicit different induction responses to those of herbivory (Hartley and Lawton 1987;

Hartley and Lawton 1991). Where feeding by herbivores has been considered, studies have relied
on correlative evidence, such as comparing elevated silica levels in grasses from areas with high
and low grazing pressure (McNaughton et al. 1985; Brizuela et al. 1986). In addition, for silica
induction to be an effective resistance mechanism it must deter further feeding by herbivores, but
no previous studies have tested the effects of induced silica levels on subsequent herbivore
feeding.

7

8 In this study, we compared the effects of herbivory, both insect and mammalian, and mechanical 9 defoliation, on the concentration of silica in the leaves of two perennial grass species. As well as 10 the type of damage, the intensity and timing of damage is also known to influence the magnitude 11 of induction (Underwood 2000; Högstedt et al. 2005). Hence, we measured silica induction in 12 response to a single damage event and to repeated damage events. In addition, we investigated 13 the significance of silica induction for defence through a series of feeding preference trials using 14 both invertebrate and vertebrate herbivores. The study addressed three key questions: i. 15 Do different types of damage (mechanical, vertebrate and invertebrate herbivory) 16 induce silica to the same extent? We predicted, as with chemical defences, that silica 17 induction response would be greater following damage by herbivores than after 18 mechanical damage. 19 ii. Is induction dependent on the degree of damage sustained? We predicted that 20 continuous damage would elicit a greater induction response than a single damage

- 21
- 22 iii. Does silica induction deter further herbivore feeding? For silica induction to be an
 23 effective defence, the increased levels of silica should deter herbivory.
- 24
- 25 Materials and methods

event.

26 Study organisms

1 We used two grass species with differing palatabilities and growth rates to test our hypotheses. 2 *Lolium perenne* L. (perennial rye grass) is a relatively fast growing species (77.6 mg DM d⁻¹) 3 with relatively high levels of foliar nitrogen (2.59% DM) and high palatability to grazing 4 mammals. *Festuca ovina* L. (sheep's fescue) is a slower growing species (10.5 mg DM d^{-1}) with 5 lower levels of foliar nitrogen (1.65% DM) and is less palatable than L. perenne (Massey et al. 6 2006). Our chosen mammalian herbivore, field vole (Microtus agrestis L.), is abundant in grasslands across Northern Europe (Corbet and Harris 1991). While considered a generalist 7 8 herbivore, feeding primarily on leaves and stems of grasses, voles display highly selective 9 feeding both between and within host plant species, dependent upon levels of nutrients and 10 defences (Marquis and Batzli 1989; Hartley et al. 1995; Hjalten et al. 1996). The voles used in 11 this study came from a captive bred colony at the University of Sussex. We used locusts 12 (Schistocerca gregaria Forskal) as our generalist insect herbivore (Raubenheimer and Simpson 13 2003) and nymphs were supplied by a local pet shop. Individual herbivores were used in a single 14 feeding trial and different individuals were used in the feeding trials and to impose damage 15 treatments.

16

17 Experimental design

18 Seeds of F. ovina and L. perenne were sown onto the surface of compost (John Innes No. 2) in 15-cm pots and grown under greenhouse conditions (16/8 h l/d photoperiods, 15-25°C) and 19 20 watered *ad libitum* for 3 months before the experiments. Plants of each species were randomly 21 allocated into one of two experiments (see below), each of which had four damage treatments (n 22 = 10): **1**. mechanical defoliation (leaves were cut to 5 cm above ground level using scissors); **2**. locust grazing (plants were placed in a locust cage with ten 3rd and 4th instar locust nymphs until 23 24 they were eaten to approximately 5 cm above ground level. This took approximately 24 hours); 3. 25 vole grazing (plants were placed in a cage with a single vole until they were eaten to

approximately 5 cm above ground level. This took approximately 5 hours); 4. no damage (control
 plants).

3

4 We simultaneously conducted two experiments to assess the plant's response at different levels of 5 herbivore damage. In experiment 1, plants were subjected to repeated damage treatments, as 6 described above, every 3-4 weeks from 3 months to 15 months old (16 damage events in total). In 7 experiment 2, plants were grown undamaged for 15 months, after which point they sustained a single damage event, as described above. In both experiments, plants were left undamaged for 6 8 9 weeks following the final damage event to allow regrowth, before removing samples for silica analysis and to conduct feeding preference tests. Plants were treated with a liquid fertilizer after 9 10 11 months (100 ml 12:1:1 N:P:K, Evergreen lawn food) to maintain nutrient levels in the compost. 12

Foliar silica content (n = 10) was determined by fusing oven-dried leaf samples (approximately
0.2 g) in sodium hydroxide followed by analysis using the colorimetric silicomolybdate technique
(Allen 1989).

16

17 Intraspecific feeding preference trials were conducted with plants from all damage treatments 18 using both voles and locusts (n = 10). Individual tillers were removed at the base with scissors, 19 matched for size across damage treatments (three tillers per damage treatment per trial) and the 20 leaf area of each tiller scanned (AM-200 leaf area meter, ADC). The base of each tiller was 21 wrapped in moist cotton wool, placed in an Eppendorf tube and arranged randomly in a grid 22 design $(3 \times 4 \text{ tillers})$. The grid of tillers was then placed in an insect-tight enclosure with sawdust added level to the base of tillers, so that herbivores could move freely between grasses. Two 4th 23 24 instar locust nymphs or a single vole were then placed in the cage and left for 6-12 hours at 25 °C 25 for locusts or 1-2 hours at 19°C for voles, until approximately 50% of total leaf area was eaten, 26 after which the remaining leaf area of each tiller was measured.

1 Statistical analysis

2 Foliar silica content between species, damage frequencies and damage treatments were compared 3 using a 3-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc analysis. In the feeding trials, the leaf area 4 removed from all three tillers per damage treatment was pooled to calculate the total leaf area 5 eaten per damage treatment per trial. Feeding preferences were then compared using mixed-6 model ANOVA's, in which damage treatment was treated as a fixed factor and trial as a random 7 factor. In this analysis, the only source of variation which can be used as an error term for the 8 calculation of F-ratios is the interaction term between the fixed factor and the random factor. This 9 term has 27 degrees of freedom for the treatment factor. There was no error term for the trial 10 factor. 11 12 Results 13 Response to damage: foliar silica concentration 14 Single damage events, by either clipping or herbivore grazing, did not alter the silica 15 concentration in the re-growth tissue of either species (Table 1, Fig. 1). Repeated mechanical 16 damage events induced a 129% increase in silica concentrations of F. ovina leaves, but there was 17 no significant change in foliar silica concentrations in L. perenne. Repeated damage events by 18 both locusts and voles resulted in large increases in silica levels for both grass species (Table 1, 19 Fig. 1). Feeding by locusts resulted in 534% and 399% increases in silica concentrations of F. 20 ovina and L. perenne leaves respectively, while vole feeding resulted in 399% and 414% 21 increases in silica concentrations. 22 23 Feeding preference trials 24 The feeding preferences of both voles and locusts reflected the large increases in silica levels 25 induced by previous herbivore damage. Feeding trials with locusts revealed higher feeding on

undamaged *F. ovina* plants compared to all damage treatments (damage treatment, $F_{3,27} = 13.10$,

1 P < 0.001; Fig. 2a), and higher feeding on both undamaged and mechanically damaged plants compared with herbivore damaged plants of *L. perenne* (damage treatment, $F_{3,27} = 9.56$, $P < 10^{-10}$ 2 3 0.001; Fig. 2b). Voles also displayed feeding preference for undamaged or mechanically 4 damaged plants over herbivore damaged plants for both species (F. ovina: damage treatment, 5 $F_{3,27} = 5.95, P = 0.003; L. perenne: damage treatment, F_{3,27} = 8.28, P < 0.001; Fig. 2).$ 6

7 Single damage events did not result in significant changes in the feeding preferences of either 8 locusts (*F. ovina*: damage treatment, $F_{3,27} = 2.77$, P = 0.061; *L. perenne*: damage treatment, $F_{3,27}$ 9 = 1.68, P = 0.195; Fig. 2) or voles on plants of either species (*F. ovina*: damage treatment, $F_{3,27} =$ 1.13, P = 0.356; *L. perenne*: damage treatment, $F_{3,27} = 0.35$, P = 0.786; Fig. 2). 10

11

12 Discussion

13 Silica induction in grasses was dependent on both the degree and type of damage sustained. Both 14 grass species responded to locust and vole grazing with induced silica levels, but mechanical 15 damage did not elicit an induction response. Repeated damage events by either insect or 16 mammalian herbivores resulted in large increases in the foliar silica levels of both species 17 (~400% greater than undamaged plants), whereas single damage events were not sufficient to 18 result in induction responses in either grass species. This is the first study to impose actual 19 herbivore damage on grasses and measure the subsequent induction of silica in a manipulative 20 experiment. All previous studies on this subject either correlated silica content with natural 21 damage levels or assessed induction after mechanical damage (McNaughton and Tarrants 1983; 22 McNaughton et al. 1985; Brizuela et al. 1986; Cid et al. 1989; Cid et al. 1990; Banuelos and 23 Obeso 2000) and the degree of induction in our experiment using repeated herbivore damage was 24 much higher than found these previous studies.

1 The patterns of silica induction in response to different damage types are consistent with those of 2 many previous studies assessing chemical induction responses. Natural herbivory has been 3 demonstrated to elicit greater induction of chemical defences than mechanical wounding in many 4 systems (Hartley and Firn 1989; Hartley and Lawton 1991; Hamhimaki and Senn 1992, Korth 5 and Dixon 1997; Lawrence and Novak 2004; Pontoppidan et al. 2005). Herbivores activate 6 specific responses at the biochemical and molecular level (Korth and Dixon 1997; Felton and 7 Eichenseer 1999; Reymond et al. 2000), and in insects, oral secretions have been identified as the 8 sources of the cues leading to the unique responses to herbivory (Alborn et al. 1997). Our results 9 suggest that similar response pathways, specific to damage by herbivores, may exist for silica 10 defence induction.

11

12 As our study assessed the impact of silica induction on subsequent herbivore feeding, we provide 13 strong evidence that silica induction is a defence response following damage. The levels of 14 feeding by both voles and locusts were reduced on plants previously damaged by herbivores 15 compared with undamaged and mechanically damaged plants. Previously, the growth and 16 digestion efficiency of locusts and voles have been found to be substantially reduced by high 17 silica levels in F. ovina and L. perenne, similar to those induced by the herbivore damage 18 treatments (Massey et al. 2006; Massey and Hartley 2006). Therefore, our results suggest a positive feedback mechanism for grass defence, whereby herbivory elicits silica induction in 19 20 grass leaves, deterring further feeding and potentially reducing growth rates of herbivores.

21

The induction response is much greater following repeated damage events than a single damage event, which may be due to a requirement either for several damage events and/or a significant time period to elapse before silica concentration in new leaves increases. These aspects of the feedback between grazers and grasses could be of particular significance to herbivore population dynamics (Lindroth and Batzli 1986; Haukioja 1991; Högstedt et al. 2005). Many herbivore

1 populations undergo cyclical fluctuations, but the delayed density dependent factors underpinning 2 these cycles remain a topic of intense debate (Agrell et al. 1995; Krebs et al. 1995; Klemola et al. 3 2000; Turchin and Hanski 2001). Although predation (Krebs et al. 1995) and parasitism (Hudson 4 et al. 1998) appear to have strong influences in some of these cycles, changes to plant food 5 quality with increased grazing pressure may also play a role (Agrell et al. 1995, Högstedt et al. 6 2005). A recent study compared population cycles of three mammalian (*Microtus agrestis*: field 7 voles, Lemmus lemmus: lemmings and Ovis aries: Soay sheep) and two invertebrate (Melolontha 8 hippocastani and Cerapteryx graminis) grass feeding herbivores and concluded that changes in 9 food plant quality could dictate the 3-5 year population cycles by determining the duration of the 10 induction response (Högstedt et al. 2005). Previous studies on cyclic dynamics of grass feeding 11 herbivores have failed to identify any factors in grasses which are both affected by herbivore 12 feeding and could influence food quality (Lindroth and Batzli 1986; Bergeron and Jodoin 1993; 13 Klemola et al. 2000). However, none of these studies measured changes in silica concentrations 14 and our study suggests that silica induction could be this key factor. The grazing pressure 15 associated with high population densities could lead to the delayed induction of silica defences. 16 This would deter herbivore feeding and as we have previously shown, lead to reductions in 17 herbivore growth rates and digestion efficiency (Massey and Hartley 2006). This may have direct 18 or indirect effects on herbivore mortality and so could contribute to population change at local 19 scales.

20

The variation in silica concentrations between damage treatments and degrees of damage suggest a cost associated with silica defence preventing the provisioning of leaves under all circumstances. Unlike many carbon or nitrogen based antiherbivore defences, where resources involved could be allocated either to growth or defence (Bryant et al. 1983), silica is not directly associated with plant growth (Raven 2003); therefore, the cost of defence is unclear. In addition, more research is required to determine whether induction is a result of increased silica uptake

from the soil, increased deposition of phytoliths within leaves, or both of these factors. There is evidence to suggest that both of these explanations are possible: McNaughton et al. (1985) found the highest levels of silica in the roots of grasses; damage by herbivores may result in the reallocation of this silica. Also, many grass species, including *L. perenne*, are known to actively take up silica from the soil (Jarvis 1987; Raven 2003) and herbivore damage could elicit an increase in this active transport.

7

8 We have demonstrated that silica concentrations in grass leaves are induced in response to 9 herbivore damage and that the elevated silica concentrations have deterrent effects on subsequent 10 feeding by both vertebrate and invertebrate herbivores. This evidence for silica induction in 11 grasses is consistent with an evolved defence mechanism and the large increases in induced foliar 12 silica by herbivores have significant implications for the understanding of grass-grazer 13 interactions. Further study is required to determine the extent to which silica induction in grasses 14 affects plant and herbivore performance under field conditions, as well as any role silica may play 15 in the population dynamics of grass feeding herbivores.

16

17 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mike Hutchings, Libby John, Kate Massey and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. The work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council, UK (NER/A/S/2001/01144). All experiments complied with the current laws of the UK.

23 **References**

Agrell J, Erlinge S, Nelson J, Nilsson C, Persson I (1995) Delayed density-dependence in a
 small-rodent population. Proc R Soc Lond - B 262:65-70

1	Alborn T, Turlings TCJ, Jones TH, Stenhagen G, Loughrin JH, Tumlinson JH (1997) An elicitor
2	of plant volatiles from beet armyworm oral secretion. Science 276:945-949
3	Allen SE (1989) Chemical analysis of ecological materials, 2nd edn. Blackwell Press, Oxford
4	Banuelos MJ, Obeso JR (2000) Effect of grazing history, experimental defoliation, and genotype
5	on patterns of silicification in Agrostis tenuis Sibth. Ecoscience 7:45-50
6	Bergeron JM, Jodoin L (1993) Intense grazing by voles (Microtus-pennsylvanicus) and its effect
7	on habitat quality. Can J Zool 71:1823-1830
8	Brizuela MA, Detling JK, Cid MS (1986) Silicon concentration of grasses growing in sites with
9	different grazing histories. Ecology 67:1098-1101
10	Bryant JP, Chapin FS, Klein DR (1983) Carbon nutrient balance of boreal plants in relation to
11	vertebrate herbivory. Oikos, 40:357-368
12	Cid MS, Detling JK, Brizuela MA, Whicker AD (1989) Patterns in grass silicification - response
13	to grazing history and defoliation. Oecologia 80:268-271
14	Cid MS, Detling JK, Whicker AD, Brizuela MA (1990) Silicon uptake and distribution in
15	Agropyron-smithii as related to grazing history and defoliation. J Range Manag 43:344-346
16	Corbet GB, Harris S (1991) The handbook of British mammals, 3rd edn. Blackwell Science,
17	Oxford
18	Felton GW, Eichenseer H (1999) Herbivore saliva and its effects on plant defense against
19	herbivores and pathogens. In: Agrawal AA, Tuzun S, Bent E, (eds) Induced plant defenses
20	against pathogens and herbivores. APS Press, Minnesota, pp 19-36
21	Gali-Muhtasib HU, Smith CC, Higgins JJ (1992) The effect of silica in grasses on the feeding-
22	behavior of the prairie vole, Microtus-ochrogaster. Ecology 73:1724-1729
23	Hanhimaki S, Senn J (1992) Sources of variation in rapidly inducible responses to leaf damage in
24	the mountain birch-insect herbivore system. Oecologia 91:318-331
25	Hartley SE, Firn RD (1989) Phenolic biosynthesis, leaf damage, and insect herbivory in birch
26	(Betula-pendula). J Chem Ecol 15:275-283

1	Hartley SE, Lawton JH (1987) Effects of different types of damage on the chemistry of birch
2	foliage, and the responses of birch feeding insects. Oecologia 74:432-437
3	Hartley SE, Lawton JH (1991) Biochemical aspects and significance of rapidly induced changes
4	in Birch foliage. In: Tallany DW, Raupp JJ (eds) Phytochemical induction be herbivores.
5	John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 105-132
6	Hartley SE, Nelson K, Gorman M (1995) The effect of fertilizer and shading on plant-chemical
7	composition and palatability to Orkney voles, Microtus-arvalis orcadensis. Oikos 72:79-87
8	Haukioja E (1991) Induction of defenses in trees. Annu Rev Entomol 36:25-42
9	Hjalten J, Danell K, Ericson L (1996) Food selection by two vole species in relation to plant
10	growth strategies and plant chemistry. Oikos 76:181-190
11	Högstedt G, Seldal T, Breistol A (2005) Period length in cyclic animal populations. Ecology
12	86:373-378
13	Hudson PJ, Dobson AP, Newborn D (1998) Prevention of population cycles by parasite removal.
14	Science 282:2256-2258
15	Jarvis SC (1987) The uptake and transport of silicon by perennial ryegrass and wheat. Plant and
16	Soil 97:429-437
17	Jernvall J, Fortelius M (2002) Common mammals drive the evolutionary increase of hypsodonty
18	in the Neogene. Nature 417:538-540
19	Karban R, Agrawal AA, Thaler JS, Adler LS (1999) Induced plant responses and information
20	content about risk of herbivory. Trends Ecol Evol 14:443-447
21	Karban R, Baldwin IT (1997) Induced responses to herbivory. University of Chicago Press,
22	London
23	Klemola T, Koivula M, Korpimaki E, Norrdahl K (2000) Experimental tests of predation and
24	food hypotheses for population cycles of voles. Proc R Soc Lond - B 267:351-356
25	Korth KL, Dixon RA (1997) Evidence for chewing insect-specific molecular events distinct from
26	a general wound response in leaves. Plant Physiol, 115:1299-1305

1	Krebs CJ, Boutin S, Boonstra R, Sinclair ARE, Smith JNM, Dale MRT, Martin K, Turkington R
2	(1995) Impact of food and predation on the snowshoe hare cycle. Science 269:1112-1115
3	Lawrence SD, Novak NG (2004) Maize genes induced by herbivory and volicitin. Journal of
4	Chemical Ecology 30:2543-2557
5	Lindroth RL, Batzli GO (1986) Inducible plant-chemical defenses - a cause of vole population-
6	cycles. J Anim Ecol 55:431-449
7	Marquis, RJ, Batzli GO (1989) Influence of chemical factors on palatability of forage to voles. J
8	Mammal 70:503-511
9	Massey FP, Hartley SE (2006) Experimental demonstration of the antiherbivore effects of silica
10	in grasses: impacts on foliage digestibility and vole growth rates. Proc R Soc Lond - B,
11	273:2299-2304
12	Massey FP, Ennos AR, Hartley SE (2006) Silica in grasses as a defence against insect herbivores:
13	contrasting effects on folivores and a phloem feeder. J Anim Ecol 75:595-603
14	McNaughton SJ (1984) Grazing lawns - animals in herds, plant form, and coevolution. Am Nat
15	124:863-886
16	McNaughton SJ, Tarrants JL (1983) Grass leaf silicification - natural-selection for an inducible
17	defense against herbivores. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA-B 80:790-791
18	McNaughton SJ, Tarrants JL, McNaughton MM, Davis RH (1985) Silica as a defense against
19	herbivory and a growth promotor in African grasses. Ecology 66:528-535
20	Pontoppidan B, Hopkins R, Rask L, Meijer J (2005) Differential wound induction of the
21	myrosinase system in oilseed rape (Brassica napus): contrasting insect damage with
22	mechanical damage. Plant Science 168:715-722
23	Prasad V, Stromberg CAE, Alimohammadian H, Sahni A (2005) Dinosaur coprolites and the
24	early evolution of grasses and grazers. Science 310:1177-1180
25	Raubenheimer D, Simpson SJ (2003) Nutrient balancing in grasshoppers: behavioural and
26	physiological correlates of dietary breadth. J Exp Biol 206:1669-1681

- Raven JA (2003) Cycling silicon the role of accumulation in plants Commentary. New Phytol
 158:419-421
- Reymond P, Weber H, Damond M, Farmer EE (2000) Differential gene expression in response to
 mechanical wounding and insect feeding in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell, 12:707-719
- 5 Turchin P, Hanski I (2001) Contrasting alternative hypotheses about rodent cycles by translating
- 6 them into parameterized models. Ecol Lett 4:267-276
- 7 Underwood N (1999) The influence of plant and herbivore characteristics on the interaction
- 8 between induced resistance and herbivore population dynamics. Am Nat 153:282-294
- 9 Underwood N (2000) Density dependence in induced plant resistance to herbivore damage:
- 10 threshold, strength and genetic variation. Oikos 89:295-300
- 11 Vicari M, Bazely DR (1993) Do grasses fight back the case for antiherbivore defenses. Trends
- 12 Ecol Evol 8:137-141

1 Table 1: ANOVA results for the effects of damage treatment (undamaged control, mechanical

2 clipping, locust and vole feeding) and the degree of damage sustained (long-term [experiment 1],

3 and short-term [experiment 2]) on the silica content of the leaves of two grass species (*F. ovina*

4 and *L. perenne*).

Factor	df	MS	F ratio	P value
Species (S)	1	5.51	10.62	0.001
Degree of damage (D)	1	92.45	178.25	< 0.001
Damage treatment (T)	3	22.69	43.74	< 0.001
$\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{D}$	1	0.30	0.58	0.446
$\mathbf{S} imes \mathbf{T}$	3	2.27	4.38	0.006
$\mathbf{D} imes \mathbf{T}$	3	17.37	33.49	< 0.001
$S \times D \times T$	3	1.10	2.13	0.099
Error	144	0.52		

1 Figure legends

Fig. 1 Foliar silica concentration of re-growth tissue of *F. ovina* and *L. perenne* plants following
repeated and single damage treatments by mechanical defoliation, locust grazing and vole
grazing, with undamaged controls. Values are means (±SE). Different letters indicate significant
differences between damage treatments (Tukey's test *P* < 0.05).
Fig. 2 Locust and vole feeding preferences for a *F. ovina* and b *L. perenne* plants after repeated
and single damage events by mechanical defoliation, locust grazing and vole grazing, with
undamaged controls. Values are means (±SE). Different letters indicate significant differences

10 between damage treatments within each feeding trial (Tukey's test P < 0.05), ns = not significant.








Fig. 2

