

Sussex Research

Deletion of the gabra2 gene results in hypersensitivity to the acute effects of ethanol but does not alter ethanol self administration

Claire I Dixon, Sophie E Walker, Sarah L King, David N Stephens

Publication date

01-01-2012

Licence

This work is made available under the Copyright not evaluated licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Dixon, C. I., Walker, S. E., King, S. L., & Stephens, D. N. (2012). *Deletion of the gabra2 gene results in hypersensitivity to the acute effects of ethanol but does not alter ethanol self administration* (Version 1). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23390603.v1

Published in PLoS ONE

Link to external publisher version https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047135

Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

Sussex Research Online

Deletion of the gabra2 gene results in hypersensitivity to the acute effects of ethanol but does not alter ethanol self administration

Article (Unspecified)

Dixon, Claire I, Walker, Sophie E, King, Sarah L and Stephens, David N (2012) Deletion of the gabra2 gene results in hypersensitivity to the acute effects of ethanol but does not alter ethanol self administration. PLoS ONE, 7 (10). e47135. ISSN 1932-6203

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/42102/

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Deletion of the *gabra2* Gene Results in Hypersensitivity to the Acute Effects of Ethanol but Does Not Alter Ethanol Self Administration

Claire I. Dixon, Sophie E. Walker, Sarah L. King, David N. Stephens*

School of Psychology, University of Sussex, Brighton, United Kingdom

Abstract

Human genetic studies have suggested that polymorphisms of the GABRA2 gene encoding the GABA_A α 2-subunit are associated with ethanol dependence. Variations in this gene also convey sensitivity to the subjective effects of ethanol, indicating a role in mediating ethanol-related behaviours. We therefore investigated the consequences of deleting the α 2-subunit on the ataxic and rewarding properties of ethanol in mice. Ataxic and sedative effects of ethanol were explored in GABA_A α 2-subunit wildtype (WT) and knockout (KO) mice using a Rotarod apparatus, wire hang and the duration of loss of righting reflex. Following training, KO mice showed shorter latencies to fall than WT littermates under ethanol (2 g/kg i.p.) in both Rotarod and wire hang tests. After administration of ethanol (3.5 g/kg i.p.), KO mice took longer to regain the righting reflex than WT mice. To ensure the acute effects are not due to the *gabra2* deletion affecting pharmacokinetics, blood ethanol concentrations were measured at 20 minute intervals after acute administration (2 g/kg i.p.), and did not differ between genotypes. To investigate ethanol's rewarding properties, WT and KO mice were trained to lever press to receive increasing concentrations of ethanol on an FR4 schedule of reinforcement. Both WT and KO mice self-administered ethanol at similar rates, with no differences in the numbers of reinforcers earned. These data indicate a protective role for α 2-subunits, against the acute sedative and ataxic effects of ethanol. However, no change was observed in ethanol self administration, suggesting the rewarding effects of ethanol remain unchanged.

Citation: Dixon Cl, Walker SE, King SL, Stephens DN (2012) Deletion of the *gabra2* Gene Results in Hypersensitivity to the Acute Effects of Ethanol but Does Not Alter Ethanol Self Administration. PLoS ONE 7(10): e47135. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047135

Editor: Rudolph Uwe, McLean Hospital/Harvard Medical School, United States of America

Received May 14, 2012; Accepted September 10, 2012; Published October 24, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Dixon et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Funding was provided by Medical Research Council grants G0600874, G0802715 and G1000008.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: d.stephens@sussex.ac.uk

Introduction

Human genetic studies have suggested that polymorphisms of the GABRA2 gene encoding the GABA_A α 2-subunit are associated with ethanol dependence in European American, white American, American plains Indian tribe and Russian populations [1,2,3,4], though, unsurprisingly, this association is not evident in all populations [5,6]. Although γ -aminobutyric acid A (GABA_A) receptors have been suggested to represent a primary target for ethanol, the direct effects of ethanol at postsynaptic receptors are achieved only at high concentrations unlikely to be achieved by social drinkers (see [7] for review). Lower concentrations of ethanol can, however, can affect inhibitory GABAergic transmission by increasing release of GABA [8] and the release of neuromodulators which are active at the GABA_A receptor, most notably neurosteroids [9].

Since Edenberg *et al*'s original report [2], related or identical variants in GABRA2 genes have also been associated with other addictive behaviours, including cocaine abuse [10], heroin abuse [11] and polydrug abuse [12,13]. That related haplotypes were associated with several forms of addiction make it unlikely that possession of a risk haplotype simply confers increased or decreased sensitivity to ethanol. Indeed the same variations are also associated with childhood conduct disorder [14,15] and with increased impulsivity [16], behavioural traits that may contribute

to the development of addictive behaviours. There is also emerging evidence that the influence of GABRA2 haplotypes on the development of addictions is due to an interaction with early life stress [11]. Since facilitated GABAergic transmission via $\alpha 2$ subunit containing receptors contributes to the anxiolytic action of benzodiazepines [17,18] and barbiturates [19] and deletion of the $\alpha 2$ -subunit gives rise to an anxious phenotype [19], genetic variations may contribute to the ability to cope with early life stress, and thus lead to an increased likelihood of addiction.

Nevertheless, there is also evidence that the same haplotypic variations in GABRA2 may alter the subjective effects of ethanol ingestion as measured by self-assessment of ethanol-related sensations [20] and mood [21], and studies using α 2-subunit mutant mice suggest that alcohol consumption is influenced by the manipulation even at socially relevant concentrations of ethanol [22]. These findings suggest a more direct relationship between ethanol and α 2-subunit containing GABA_A receptors and may be resolved by considering the role of intermediate neurotransmitters. For example, inhibition of neurosteroid synthesis (which is activated by ethanol) attenuates differences between risk and protective haplotypes [23], suggesting that ethanol may indirectly facilitate transmission at α 2-subunit containing receptors by increasing levels of neurosteroids.

Animal experiments using manipulations of the α 2-subunit *in vivo* have also suggested a more direct GABA-ethanol interac-

tion but currently yield somewhat contradictory results. Using a knockout mouse model, deletion of the α 2-subunit resulted in decreased sedation in response to an acute ethanol challenge, as measured by the loss of righting reflex [24], suggesting that the α 2subunit conveys sensitivity to the sedative effects of ethanol (though, curiously, it mediates stimulant effects of benzodiazepines [25,26]). Mutations of the α 2-subunit (S270I in transmembrane region 2 (TM2), A291W in TM3 [27] and a2(S270H, L277A) [22]) result in decreased ethanol potentiation of the GABA response in Xenopus oocytes. Mice bearing the latter mutation show reduced ethanol-induced locomotor activation and a corresponding increase in sedative effects as measured by loss of righting reflex [22]. Such results appear inconsistent with a decreased ethanol potentiation of GABA responses and with the behavioural data reported in knockout mice. Nevertheless these apparently contradictory data do suggest a potential role for the GABAA a2subunit in several mechanisms that may contribute to the development of dependence to ethanol, including acute subjective responses to ethanol.

We therefore investigated the role of the GABA_A α 2-subunit in ethanol related behaviours using a knockout mouse model. Acute effects were investigated using tests of sedation, locomotor activation and motor coordination, whilst motivation to consume ethanol was tested using an operant oral self administration procedure.

Methods

Ethics Statement

All experiments were carried out with a UK Home Office project licence under the authority of the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and in accordance with local guidelines. Experiments were performed at the University of Sussex, which is a designated facility according to the Act. Following the experiments, except where stated otherwise, mice were killed by CO2 inhalation which is an appropriate method under Schedule 1 of the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

Animals

Wildtype (WT) and knockout (KO) male mice were bred from heterozygous pairings and maintained on a mixed 50% C57BL/6J -50% 129SvEv background. α 2-subunit KO mice were generated as previously described [19]. Animals were housed in pairs under a 12 hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 7.00 AM) in a holding room with controlled temperature (\approx 21°C) and humidity (\approx 50%). Except where specified, animals had *ad libitum* access to standard laboratory chow (Bekay Feeds, Hull, UK) and water within the home cage. Mean body weight at the start of the experiment was approximately 30 g.

Drugs

Ethanol (95%) was diluted in 0.9% saline to a concentration of 20% v/v and administered i.p. at varying volumes (maximum 20 ml/kg) to achieve the required dose.

Sedative and Ataxic Effects of Acute Administration

Rotarod. To assess motor coordination, WT and KO mice (n = 10) were trained to remain on a rotating rod apparatus (Rotarod; Ugo Basile, Comerio, Italy), revolving at 16 revolutions per minute. Each animal had two 5 minute training sessions. The following day, all animals were re-tested for their ability to complete a 3 minute trial prior to drug administration. Animals were injected with 2 g/kg ethanol and tested on the Rotarod at 20

minute intervals until two consecutive 3 minute trials were completed without falling.

Statistical analysis. A two-way, repeated measures ANOVA, with the between subject factor genotype and within subject factor timepoint was used to evaluate potential genotype and ethanol effects for the latency to fall, using SPSS statistics package. The Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied to correct for a violation in the assumption of sphericity.

Wire hang. To assess motor strength, WT and KO mice (n = 12) were trained to grip and hang onto a taut wire suspended 50 cm above the bench top. Training was considered complete when each animal maintained grip on the wire for 60 seconds on two occasions. The following day, all animals were injected with 2 g/kg ethanol and their ability to hang from the wire, as measured by latency to fall, was recorded prior to and at 20, 40 and 60 minutes post-injection.

Statistical analysis. A two-way, repeated measures AN-OVA with the between subject factor genotype and within subject factor timepoint was used to evaluate potential genotype and ethanol effects for the variable latency to fall. Data were log transformed to correct for a violation of homogeneity of variance.

Loss of righting reflex. To assess the sedative effects of ethanol, WT and KO mice (n = 12) were injected with 3.5 g/kg ethanol. At intervals of one minute, mice were tested for their ability to recover an upright posture within 10 seconds. The time of loss of righting reflex and duration of loss were recorded.

Statistical analysis. Duration of loss of righting reflex was compared between the genotypes using an independent samples Student's t-test.

Locomotor activity. After 20 minute habituation sessions to the locomotor runways for 2 days, WT and KO mice (n = 8) were injected i.p. with 0, 1, 2 or 3 g/kg and immediately placed in circular runways to record locomotor activity for 5 minutes. Ethanol doses were administered in a counterbalanced design, at 2 day intervals.

Statistical Analysis. Habituation data were analysed using a two-way, repeated measures ANOVA comparing genotype and habitation day. To determine ethanol effects on locomotor activity, a two-way, repeated measures ANOVA with the between subject factor genotype and within subject factor dose was used to evaluate potential genotype and ethanol effects on locomotor activity.

Motivation to Obtain Ethanol

Operant self administration. WT and KO mice (n = 8)were food restricted to reduce body weights to 90% of free-feeding weight, and trained to press a lever for 10% sucrose in mouse operant chambers (Med Associates, Georgia, VT, USA) constructed of clear Perspex (18×18×15 cm), and contained in sound and light attenuating cubicles. Each operant chamber possessed a single house light located on the wall opposite the levers. The front wall was fitted with a liquid dipper, located between 2 ultrasensitive mouse levers. Following an initial 15 hour training session, which included the dark phase, the response requirement to obtain 10% sucrose reinforcer was increased over consecutive days (range 2-7) from FR1 to FR2 to FR4 in daily one hour sessions. Motivation to consume ethanol was investigated using the sucrose fading technique [28]: over the following sessions the sucrose concentration was decreased and the ethanol concentration was increased as follows; 10% sucrose +3% ethanol; 10% sucrose +5% ethanol, 7% sucrose +5% ethanol, 10% sucrose +10% ethanol. The mice remained at each stage until reaching a criterion of at least 30 reinforcers per session on 3 consecutive days.

Statistical analysis. Sessions to criteria at each sucrose/ ethanol concentration were analysed using a Mann-Whitney U test. A two-way, repeated measures ANOVA, with the between subject factor genotype and within subject factor ethanol/sucrose concentration was used to evaluate potential genotype and reinforcer effects for the number of lever presses and number of reinforcers obtained. The Greenhouse-Geisser correction was applied to correct for a violation in the assumption of sphericity.

Blood Ethanol Concentration

WT and KO mice (n = 4 per time point) were administered ethanol (2 g/kg, i.p.) acutely. At 15, 45 and 120 minutes following administration, mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and trunk blood collected into heparinised capillary tubes. Blood samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes and supernatant frozen at -80° C. Samples were analysed in triplicate using an Analox automatic ethanol analyser (AM1, Analox Instruments, London, UK) and the mean value taken for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis. A two-way, repeated measures AN-OVA, with the between subject factors genotype and sampling time was used to evaluate potential genotype and timepoint effects for the variable ethanol level.

Results

Sedative and Ataxic Effects of Acute Administration

Rotarod. Prior to receiving an ethanol injection, all animals were able to complete a 3 minute trial on the Rotarod apparatus. After administration of ethanol, both genotypes improved their performance over the course of the experiment (Main effect of time; $F_{(6,108)} = 26.702$, p < 0.001, $\varepsilon = 0.604$; Fig. 1A). However, KO mice were more impaired in their performance (main effect of genotype, $F_{(1,18)} = 13.775$, p < 0.01) and were slower to recover the ability to remain on the Rotarod for 180 seconds (genotype by time interaction, $F_{(6,108)} = 3.703$, p < 0.05, $\varepsilon = 0.604$).

Wire hang. Prior to ethanol administration, both genotypes demonstrated a similar degree of motor strength and remained on the wire for a comparable amount of time. (t(22) = -0.007, p = 0.995; Fig. 1B). However, after 2 g/kg ethanol, KO mice showed a marked decrease in the time spent on the wire compared to WT (genotype by time interaction, $F_{(2,44)} = 3.133$, p = 0.05) but both genotypes recovered within a similar time frame.

Loss of righting reflex. After administration of 3.5 g/kg ethanol, KO mice showed increased sedation compared to WT (t(22) = -4.4, p < 0.001), as measured by a longer duration of the loss of righting reflex (Fig. 1C).

Locomotor activity. No genotype differences were noted during habituation to the locomotor apparatus (genotype by dose interaction, $F_{(1,14)} = 0.598$, p = 0.452; main effect of genotype, $F_{(1,14)} = 0.268$, p = 0.613; data not shown). Locomotor activity at five minutes after ethanol administration was lower in KO mice compared to WT (genotype by dose interaction, $F_{(3,39)} = 3.075$, p < 0.05; Fig 1D).

Motivation to Obtain Ethanol

Operant self-administration. The acquisition of self administration of sucrose and ethanol solutions did not differ between genotypes. Both genotypes performed a similar number of sessions to criterion at each concentration (10%0%: U = 31.5, p = 0.648; 10%3%: U = 28.0, p = 1.00; 10%5%: U = 22.5, p = 0.448; 7%5%: U = 27.5, p = 0.951; 10%10%: U = 28.0, p = 1.00; Fig. 2A). When allowed to lever press to gain access to increasing concentrations of ethanol in a sucrose solution, KO mice did not differ from WT in either the number of active lever

presses (genotype by reinforcer interaction, $F_{(4,52)} = 0.721$, p = 0.486, $\varepsilon = 0.462$; main effect of genotype, $F_{(1,13)} = 0.019$, p = 0.893; Fig. 2B) or the number of reinforcers earned (genotype by reinforcer interaction, $F_{(4,52)} = 0.486$, p = 0.604, $\varepsilon = 0.456$; main effect of genotype, $F_{(1,13)} = 0.034$, p = 0.858; Fig. 2C) in 30 minutes. The genotypes performed to a similar level at all concentrations, both reducing their number of reinforcers as the ethanol concentration increased (Main effect of concentration, $F_{(4,52)} = 12.357$, p < 0.001, $\varepsilon = 0.456$).

Blood Ethanol Concentration

A significant main effect of post-administration sampling time $(F_{(2,15)} = 26.18, p < 0.001; Fig. 3)$ indicates that amount of ethanol in the blood decreases over time. Both main effect of genotype $(F_{(1,15)} = 0.191, p = 0.668)$ and genotype by sampling time interaction $(F_{(2,15)} = 0.005, p = 0.995)$ were non-significant, indicating that the rate of ethanol metabolism is similar in both genotypes.

Discussion

The current data suggest a role for the GABA_A $\alpha 2\text{-subunit in}$ mediating the sedative and ataxic effects of acute ethanol administration. Deletion of this subunit resulted in a decreased ability to maintain walking on a Rotarod apparatus under ethanol treatment, decreased motor strength as measured in the wire hang test, and a greater duration of the loss of righting reflex. In response to an acute ethanol challenge, KO animals showed lower locomotor activity compared to WT at the highest dose, further suggesting increased sedation in the KO. It should be noted that locomotor activity was measured for five minutes immediately following ethanol injection, to capture the period during which ethanol is activating. Thus, the doses administered to induce locomotor activity may seem high in comparison to other publications that emphasise the sedative effects of the drug. Since metabolism was unaffected, the effects observed indicate an increased sensitivity to the sedative and ataxic effects of ethanol. In spite of the observed acute difference, no change in the motivation to consume an ethanol solution was observed, suggesting this increased sensitivity does not extend to the rewarding properties of ethanol.

GABA_A receptors are expressed throughout the CNS, with different receptor subtypes providing heterogeneous expression throughout distinct brain regions. Whilst the exact neural basis for the sedative and ataxic affects of ethanol is currently unknown, the α 2-subunit is expressed within brain areas involved in motor output, namely the striatum, cerebellum [29,30] and within the dorsal and ventral horns of the spinal cord [31,32], making these the most likely loci to mediate acute sedative/ataxic effects.

There are several mechanisms by which a manipulation of GABAergic inhibition may affect responses to ethanol. Ethanol can activate postsynaptic receptors directly at high concentrations but whether this effect occurs at concentrations likely to be achieved socially is controversial [7]. Thus loss of GABA_A α 2subunits is unlikely to change ethanol responses in the current experiments which result in relatively low blood alcohol concentrations, but currently cannot be ruled out as a possibility. These lower concentrations, however, can induce release of neurosteroids, which are known to act at GABAA receptors. The actions of neurosteroids have been shown to be only modestly influenced by the α -subunit composition of the receptor, with an alteration of the γ -subunit having the most effect [33]. Furthermore, changes in neurosteroid levels do not appear to alter the acute motor effects of ethanol [34], suggesting that a neurosteroid-dependent mechanism is unlikely to explain the



Figure 1. Increased ataxia and sedation after acute ethanol administration in GABA_A a2-subunit KO mice. (A) Latency (secs) to fall from the Rotarod apparatus. WT mice are able to remain on the Rotarod apparatus for longer than KO after 2 g/kg ethanol (n = 10; genotype by time interaction, $F_{(6,108)} = 3.703$, p < 0.05, $\varepsilon = 0.604$) (B) Latency (secs) to fall from the wire hang apparatus. WT mice are able to retain a grip on the hanging wire longer than KO mice after 2 g/kg ethanol. (n = 12; genotype by time interaction, $F_{(2,44)} = 3.133$, p = 0.05) (C) Loss of righting reflex. KO animals show a longer duration of sedation after 3.5 g/kg ethanol (n = 12; t(22) = -4.4, p<0.001) (D) Locomotor dose response. KO animals show reduced locomotion at 5 mins after 3 g/kg ethanol when compared to WT animals (n = 8; genotype by dose interaction, $F_{(3,39)} = 3.075$, p < 0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047135.g001

acute differences observed in the current study. Increased GABA release also occurs in response to ethanol administration and is induced, at least in part, by a presynaptic GABA_A receptor mechanism [35,36]. The α 2-subunit has been found presynaptically in hippocampal mossy fibre neurons [37] and thus may serve to modulate GABA release. Since presynaptic GABA receptors attenuate ethanol-induced GABA release [36], it might be speculated that a deletion of the α 2-subunit would result in increased GABA release in response to an ethanol challenge, causing an enhanced acute response. However, it is important to note that evidence of presynaptically expressed α 2-subunits in

brain regions other than hippocampus, and a direct link with ethanol effects remains to be demonstrated.

In spite of acute differences to the sedative and ataxic effects, motivation to drink as measured by operant self-administration of an oral ethanol solution remained unchanged in KO mice. The increased sensitivity to the motor effects of ethanol in the KO did not appear to affect the ability to obtain the solution since both genotypes self administered at a similar rate for all concentrations and neither genotype indicated an inability to perform due to inebriation. Importantly, the absence of a change in ethanol selfadministration is consistent with previously published data using KO mice, which showed no differences in ethanol drinking



Figure 2. Self administration of sucrose/ethanol solution remains unchanged in GABA_A *a***2-subunit KO mice.** (**A**) Sessions to criteria. The genotypes did not differ in their acquisition of self administration, performing a similar number of sessions to criteria at each concentration of ethanol (n=8; 10%0%: U=31.5, p=0.648; 10%3%: U=28.0, p=1.00; 10%5%: U=22.5, p=0.448; 7%5%: U=27.5, p=0.951; 10%10%: U=28.0, p=1.00) (**B**) Number of active lever presses. Both genotypes perform a comparable number of lever presses to obtain increasing concentrations of ethanol in a sucrose/ethanol solution (genotype by reinforcer interaction, $F_{(4,52)}$ =0.721, p=0.486, ε =0.462) (**C**) Number of reinforcers earned. Both WT and KO mice earned a similar number of reinforcers at each concentration of ethanol (genotype by reinforcer interaction, $F_{(4,52)}$ =0.486, ρ =0.604, ε =0.456), indicating that motivation to obtain ethanol is unchanged after a deletion of the GABA_A α2-subunit.

preference as measured by a two-bottle choice paradigm [24]. However, a point mutation of the α 2-subunit gave rise to varying effects on ethanol drinking depending on the precise test conditions, with the mutant drinking more alcohol during shortterm daily access and less alcohol during continuous access [22]. Whilst these findings imply an involvement of the α 2-subunit in ethanol drinking, they are difficult to interpret without further investigations of factors such as taste reactivity, withdrawal or motivational effects. Given the regional distribution of the α 2subunit, it is perhaps not surprising that motivated drinking, as measured by operant self-administration, is unaffected by its deletion. In contrast to psychostimulants, lesions of the striatum (where the α 2-subunit is heavily expressed) do not affect motivation to consume ethanol [38,39]. Instead, it has been suggested that the ventral pallidum may be more important in maintaining ethanol drinking, consistent with a role of the GABAA α 1-subunit [40,41] which is more abundant within this region.



Figure 3. Blood ethanol concentration. Following an acute ethanol challenge (2 g/kg, i.p.), no genotype differences were observed in blood ethanol concentration (n=4; genotype by sampling time interaction $F_{(2,15)} = 0.005$, p = 0.995). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047135.g003

The lack of an effect of a *gabra2* deletion on drinking behaviour seems at first sight to be in disagreement with the human literature suggesting that polymorphisms of the α 2-subunit are involved in ethanol dependence [1,2]. Both risk and protective haplotypes have been identified, but it is currently unknown if and how these haplotypes translate into expression differences and if they would be in any way analogous to the constitutive mouse knockout. One factor that should be considered is how variations in the α 2-subunit may interact with other intrinsic or environmental influences. Recent evidence suggests that the α 2-subunit plays a role in ethanol dependence only in those who have been subjected to childhood trauma [11]. Since our mice received no developmental stress, it is perhaps unlikely that they would reveal a role of α 2-subunit containing receptors in motivation to drink ethanol.

An important consideration of any experiment using a constitutive knockout model is that compensatory changes may take place in response to the deletion, potentially causing changes in receptor function that will have occurred throughout the brain and during development and may be responsible for the resulting changes in phenotype. Certainly, in the case of deletion of the gabra1 gene resulting in loss of the α 1-subunit, there is evidence for increased expression of $\alpha 2$ - and $\alpha 3$ -subunits, and decreased expression of γ 2-subunits, as well as alterations in receptor clustering and distribution [42,43] and in various other genes involved in neural plasticity [44]. Using quantitative PCR analysis, we found no evidence for altered expression of other GABAA receptor subunits in the KO [10], indicating a lack of compensation in subunit expression at the transcription level in the adult mouse. Nevertheless we cannot exclude changes either at the protein level, or patterns of insertion of receptors into the membrane, or to other neurotransmitter systems.

Such compensatory changes may be important when comparing data from other mutant mouse models. Differing mutations of the α 2-subunit have resulted in both increased sedation in response to an ethanol challenge (S270H, L277A mutant [22]) and decreased sedation (H101R mutant [45]). The difference between these studies and the current data may be explained by a difficulty in identifying the mechanism by which ethanol is affecting GABA_A transmission. Whether it is a direct mechanism or secondary effects via other neuromodulators, such as neurosteroids, manipulating different amino acid residues within the subunit may produce a variation in the receptor responses. However, it is noteworthy that a point mutation in α 2-subunits (serine 270 to histidine and leucine 277 to alanine mutations) resulting in reduction of sensitivity to ethanol's ability to facilitate GABA-induced current, when expressed in mice also enhanced ethanol's effects on the loss of the righting reflex, without influencing ethanol consumption [22]. Since point mutations may be less likely to give rise to compensatory changes (but see [17]) than deletions, such a result would be consistent with the behavioural effects we observed being due to loss of α 2-subunit function, and not to compensatory effects.

It is important to note that previous experiments using *gabra2* KO mice have shown a decrease in sensitivity to the acute effects of ethanol as shown by a reduced loss of righting reflex [24], the direct opposite response to the one reported here. The discrepancy between this experiment and the current data are not attributable to differences in generation of the knockout, as in both cases the mice were derived from the same original line [19,24]. Furthermore, the two knockout colonies have both been maintained on the same background strain (50% C57BL/6J, 50% 126SvEv) which minimises the possibility of differences in epistatic interactions with genes from the background strain influencing the behaviour. At present, we can only suggest that differences may reflect consequences of genetic drift within two independently-maintained colonies.

In conclusion, the current data demonstrate that deletion of the gabra2 gene encoding the GABA_A $\alpha 2$ -subunit results in an increased sensitivity to the acute sedative and ataxic effects of

References

- Enoch MA, Schwartz L, Albaugh B, Virkkunen M, Goldman D (2006) Dimensional anxiety mediates linkage of GABRA2 haplotypes with alcoholism. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 141B: 599–607.
- Edenberg HJ, Dick DM, Xuei X, Tian H, Almasy L, et al. (2004) Variations in GABRA2, encoding the alpha 2 subunit of the GABA(A) receptor, are associated with alcohol dependence and with brain oscillations. Am J Hum Genet 74: 705– 714.
- Covault J, Gelernter J, Hesselbrock V, Nellissery M, Kranzler HR (2004) Allelic and haplotypic association of GABRA2 with alcohol dependence. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 129B: 104–109.
- Lappalainen J, Krupitsky E, Remizov M, Pchelina S, Taraskina A, et al. (2005) Association between alcoholism and gamma-amino butyric acid alpha2 receptor subtype in a Russian population. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 29: 493–498.
- Onori N, Turchi C, Solito G, Gesuita R, Buscemi L, et al. (2010) GABRA2 and alcohol use disorders: no evidence of an association in an Italian case-control study. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 34: 659–668.
- Lydall GJ, Saini J, Ruparelia K, Montagnese S, McQuillin A, et al. (2011) Genetic association study of GABRA2 single nucleotide polymorphisms and electroencephalography in alcohol dependence. Neurosci Lett 500: 162–166.
- Weiner JL, Valenzuela CF (2006) Ethanol modulation of GABAergic transmission: the view from the slice. Pharmacol Ther 111: 533–554.
- Roberto M, Madamba SG, Stouffer DG, Parsons LH, Siggins GR (2004) Increased GABA release in the central amygdala of ethanol-dependent rats. J Neurosci 24: 10159–10166.
- Barbaccia ML, Affricano D, Trabucchi M, Purdy RH, Colombo G, et al. (1999) Ethanol markedly increases "GABAergic" neurosteroids in alcohol-preferring rats. Eur J Pharmacol 384: R1–2.
- Dixon CI, Morris HV, Breen G, Desrivieres S, Jugurnauth S, et al. (2010) Cocaine effects on mouse incentive-learning and human addiction are linked to alpha2 subunit-containing GABAA receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 2289–2294.
- Enoch MA, Hodgkinson CA, Yuan Q, Shen PH, Goldman D, et al. (2010) The influence of GABRA2, childhood trauma, and their interaction on alcohol, heroin, and cocaine dependence. Biol Psychiatry 67: 20–27.
- Lind PA, Macgregor S, Agrawal A, Montgomery GW, Heath AC, et al. (2008) The role of GABRA2 in alcohol dependence, smoking, and illicit drug use in an Australian population sample. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32: 1721–1731.
- Agrawal A, Pergadia ML, Saccone SF, Hinrich AL, Lessov-Schlaggar CN, et al. (2008) Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor genes and nicotine dependence: evidence for association from a case-control study. Addiction 103: 1027–1038.
- Sakai JT, Stallings MC, Crowley TJ, Gelhorn HL, McQueen MB, et al. (2010) Test of association between GABRA2 (SNP rs279871) and adolescent conduct/ alcohol use disorders utilizing a sample of clinic referred youth with serious

ethanol administration, without changing the motivation to consume ethanol. In conclusion, these observations imply a protective role of the α 2-subunit against the acute motor effects of high dose ethanol but the exact mechanism remains unclear. Whilst genetic variations of the GABRA2 gene in humans have previously implicated this subunit in ethanol abuse, current data suggest that this is unlikely to be due to GABAergic manipulations directly changing motivational behaviour. Considering the complexity of the literature regarding the potential role of the GABA_A α 2-subunit in responses to ethanol, it may be important to consider the participation of intermediate messenger systems. In the case of a role for variations in α 2-subunit containing receptors as risk factors for addiction, additional factors, such as stress, and how they interact with GABAergic inhibition may be important in producing addiction-related phenotypes.

Acknowledgments

The experiments reported here were carried out under the umbrella of the MRC Addiction Cluster "GABA". We also thank Yuri Blednov and Adron Harris for helpful discussions in attempting to resolve our apparently contradictory findings.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DNS SLK CID SEW. Performed the experiments: SEW CID. Analyzed the data: SEW CID. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: DNS SLK. Wrote the paper: CID.

substance and conduct problems, controls and available first degree relatives. Drug Alcohol Depend 106: 199–203.

- Dick DM, Bierut L, Hinrichs A, Fox L, Bucholz KK, et al. (2006) The role of GABRA2 in risk for conduct disorder and alcohol and drug dependence across developmental stages. Behav Genet 36: 577–590.
- Villafuerte S, Heitzeg MM, Foley S, Wendy Yau WY, Majczenko K, et al. (2011) Impulsiveness and insula activation during reward anticipation are associated with genetic variants in GABRA2 in a family sample enriched for alcoholism. Mol Psychiatry.
- Morris HV, Dawson GR, Reynolds DS, Atack JR, Stephens DN (2006) Both alpha2 and alpha3 GABAA receptor subtypes mediate the anxiolytic properties of benzodiazepine site ligands in the conditioned emotional response paradigm. Eur J Neurosci 23: 2495–2504.
- Low K, Crestani F, Keist R, Benke D, Brunig I, et al. (2000) Molecular and neuronal substrate for the selective attenuation of anxiety. Science 290: 131–134.
- Dixon CI, Rosahl TW, Stephens DN (2008) Targeted deletion of the GABRA2 gene encoding alpha2-subunits of GABA(A) receptors facilitates performance of a conditioned emotional response, and abolishes anxiolytic effects of benzodiazepines and barbiturates. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 90: 1–8.
- Roh S, Matsushita S, Hara S, Maesato H, Matsui T, et al. (2010) Role of GABRA2 in Moderating Subjective Responses to Alcohol. Alcohol Clin Exp Res.
- Haughey HM, Ray LA, Finan P, Villanueva R, Niculescu M, et al. (2008) Human gamma-aminobutyric acid A receptor alpha2 gene moderates the acute effects of alcohol and brain mRNA expression. Genes Brain Behav 7: 447–454.
- Blednov YA, Borghese CM, McCracken ML, Benavidez JM, Geil CR, et al. (2011) Loss of ethanol conditioned taste aversion and motor stimulation in knockin mice with ethanol-insensitive alpha2-containing GABA(A) receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 336: 145–154.
- Pierucci-Lagha A, Covault J, Feinn R, Nellissery M, Hernandez-Avila C, et al. (2005) GABRA2 alleles moderate the subjective effects of alcohol, which are attenuated by finasteride. Neuropsychopharmacology 30: 1193–1203.
- Boehm SL 2nd, Ponomarev I, Jennings AW, Whiting PJ, Rosahl TW, et al. (2004) gamma-Aminobutyric acid A receptor subunit mutant mice: new perspectives on alcohol actions. Biochem Pharmacol 68: 1581–1602.
- Wafford KA, Macaulay AJ, Fradley R, O'Meara GF, Reynolds DS, et al. (2004) Differentiating the role of gamma-aminobutyric acid type A (GABAA) receptor subtypes. Biochem Soc Trans 32: 553–556.
- Morris HV, Dawson GR, Reynolds DS, Atack JR, Rosahl TW, et al. (2008) Alpha2-containing GABA(A) receptors are involved in mediating stimulant effects of cocaine. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 90: 9–18.
- Ueno S, Wick MJ, Ye Q, Harrison NL, Harris RA (1999) Subunit mutations affect ethanol actions on GABA(A) receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes. Br J Pharmacol 127: 377–382.

- Samson HH (1986) Initiation of ethanol reinforcement using a sucrosesubstitution procedure in food- and water-sated rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 10: 436–442.
- Schwarzer C, Berresheim U, Pirker S, Wieselthaler A, Fuchs K, et al. (2001) Distribution of the major gamma-aminobutyric acid(A) receptor subunits in the basal ganglia and associated limbic brain areas of the adult rat. J Comp Neurol 433: 526–549.
- Pirker S, Schwarzer C, Wieselthaler A, Sieghart W, Sperk G (2000) GABA(A) receptors: immunocytochemical distribution of 13 subunits in the adult rat brain. Neuroscience 101: 815–850.
- Bohlhalter S, Weinmann O, Mohler H, Fritschy JM (1996) Laminar compartmentalization of GABAA-receptor subtypes in the spinal cord: an immunohistochemical study. J Neurosci 16: 283–297.
- Persohn E, Malherbe P, Richards JG (1991) In situ hybridization histochemistry reveals a diversity of GABAA receptor subunit mRNAs in neurons of the rat spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia. Neuroscience 42: 497–507.
- Belelli D, Casula A, Ling A, Lambert JJ (2002) The influence of subunit composition on the interaction of neurosteroids with GABA(A) receptors. Neuropharmacology 43: 651–661.
- Khisti RT, VanDoren MJ, Matthews DB, Morrow AL (2004) Ethanol-induced elevation of 3 alpha-hydroxy-5 alpha-pregnan-20-one does not modulate motor incoordination in rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 28: 1249–1256.
- Zhu PJ, Lovinger DM (2006) Ethanol potentiates GABAergic synaptic transmission in a postsynaptic neuron/synaptic bouton preparation from basolateral amygdala. J Neurophysiol 96: 433–441.
- Wakita M, Shin MC, Iwata S, Nonaka K, Akaike N (2012) Effects of ethanol on GABA(A) receptors in GABAergic and glutamatergic presynaptic nerve terminals. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 341: 809–819.

- Ruiz A, Fabian-Fine R, Scott R, Walker MC, Rusakov DA, et al. (2003) GABAA receptors at hippocampal mossy fibers. Neuron 39: 961–973.
- Fahlke C, Hansen S, Engel JA, Hard E (1994) Effects of ventral striatal 6-OHDA lesions or amphetamine sensitization on ethanol consumption in the rat. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 47: 345–349.
- Hansen S, Fahlke C, Hard E, Thomasson R (1995) Effects of ibotenic acid lesions of the ventral striatum and the medial prefrontal cortex on ethanol consumption in the rat. Alcohol 12: 397–402.
- Yang AP, Liu J, Yi HS, Warnock KT, Wang M, et al. (2011) Binge Drinking: In Search of its Molecular Target via the GABA(A) Receptor. Front Neurosci 5: 123.
- June HL, Foster KL, McKay PF, Seyoum R, Woods JE, et al. (2003) The reinforcing properties of alcohol are mediated by GABA(A1) receptors in the ventral pallidum. Neuropsychopharmacology 28: 2124–2137.
- Kralic JE, Sidler C, Parpan F, Homanics GE, Morrow AL, et al. (2006) Compensatory alteration of inhibitory synaptic circuits in cerebellum and thalamus of gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor alpha1 subunit knockout mice. J Comp Neurol 495: 408–421.
- Kralic JE, O'Buckley TK, Khisti RT, Hodge CW, Homanics GE, et al. (2002) GABA(A) receptor alpha-1 subunit deletion alters receptor subtype assembly, pharmacological and behavioral responses to benzodiazepines and zolpidem. Neuropharmacology 43: 685–694.
- Ponomarev I, Maiya R, Harnett MT, Schafer GL, Ryabinin AE, et al. (2006) Transcriptional signatures of cellular plasticity in mice lacking the alphal subunit of GABAA receptors. J Neurosci 26: 5673–5683.
 Tauber M, Calame-Droz E, Prut L, Rudolph U, Crestani F (2003) alpha2-
- Tauber M, Calame-Droz E, Prut L, Rudolph U, Crestani F (2003) alpha2gamma-Aminobutyric acid (GABA)A receptors are the molecular substrates mediating precipitation of narcosis but not of sedation by the combined use of diazepam and alcohol in vivo. Eur J Neurosci 18: 2599–2604.