

# Sussex Research

### Predictors of recurrence, early treatment failure and death from Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia: observational analyses within the ARREST trial

Alexander Szubert, Sarah Lou Bailey, Graham S Cooke, Tim Peto, Martin Llewelyn, Jonathan D Edgeworth, A Sarah Walker, Guy E Thwaites

#### Publication date

12-06-2023

#### Licence

This work is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

#### **Document Version**

Published version

#### Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Szubert, A., Bailey, S. L., Cooke, G. S., Peto, T., Llewelyn, M., Edgeworth, J. D., Walker, A. S., & Thwaites, G. E. (2019). *Predictors of recurrence, early treatment failure and death from Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia: observational analyses within the ARREST trial* (Version 2). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23470904.v2

Published in Journal of Infection

Link to external publisher version https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2019.08.001

#### Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

### Supplementary material

List of investigators	.2
Supplementary Methods	.5
(a) Blinded endpoint review committee	,
(b) Statistical methods	,
Supplementary Figure 1 Effect of time from first new symptom caused by <i>S. aureus</i> to starting antibiotics on risk of (a) recurrence and (b) failure	.8
Supplementary Figure 2 Points-based risk score for recurrence based on (a) full model and (b) simplified model	.9
Supplementary Table 1 Additional characteristics at randomization of all participants in the trial and all those subsequently suffering recurrence, <i>S. aureus</i> related mortality, non- <i>S. aureus</i> related mortality and failure	10
Supplementary Table 2 Further details of recurrences1	12
Supplementary Table 3 Points-based recurrence score based on full final multivariable model	20
Supplementary Table 4. Observed risk of recurrence by full points-based recurrence score2	21
* Predicted % recurrences and NNT predicted are for the mid-point score (rounded to nearest whole number) in each category	21
Note: presented graphically in Supplementary Figure 22	21
Supplementary Table 5. Observed risk of recurrence by simplified BIRDL recurrence score	21
Supplementary References	22
1. Royston P, Parmar MKB. Flexible parametric proportional-hazards and proportional-odds models for censored survival data, with application to prognostic modelling and estimation of treatment effects. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> 2002; 21: 2175-97	22
2. Lambert PC, Royston P. Further development of flexible parametric models for survival analysis. <i>The Stata Journal</i> 2009; 9(2): 265-90	22
3. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional Hazards Model for the Subdistribution of a Competing Risk. <i>Journal of the American Statistical Association</i> 1999; 94(446): 496-509	22
4. Austin PC, Lee DS, D'Agostino RB, Fine JP. Developing points-based risk-scoring systems in the presence of competing risks. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> 2016; 35: 4056-722	22
5. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino Sr RB, D'Agostino Jr RB, Vasan RS. Evaluating the added predictive ability of a new marker: From area under the ROC curve to reclassification and beyond. <i>Statistics in Medicine</i> 2008; 27: 157-72.	22
6. Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons; 19892	22
7. Thwaites GE, Scarborough M, Szubert A, et al. Adjunctive rifampicin for Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia (ARREST): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>The Lancet</i> 2017: 1-11.	t 22

#### List of investigators

The ARREST trial group consists of: Participating Centres:

**Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust**: M Scarborough, M Kamfose, A de Veciana, NC Gordon, L Peto, G Pill, T Clarke, L Watson, B Young, D Griffiths, A Vaughn, L Anson, E Liu, S Perera, L Rylance-Knight, C Cantell, R Moroney.

**Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust**: JD Edgeworth, G Thwaites, K Bisnauthsing, A Querol-Rubiera, C Gibbs, A Patel, C Hemsley, AL Goodman, D Wyncoll, J Biswas, J Fitzpatrick, L Roberts, J Millard, N Stone, A Cape, L Hurley, C Kai Tam.

**The Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust**: E Nsutebu, M Hoyle, K Maitland, L Trainor, H Reynolds, J Harrison, J Anson, J Lewis, J Folb, L Goodwin, N Beeching, S Dyas, H Winslow, E Foote, P Roberts, P Natarajan, A Chrdle. M Fenech, H Allsop.

**University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Trust**: R Tilley, R Austin-Hutchison, L Barrett, K Brookes, L Carwithen, A Conbeer, R Cunningham, C Eglinton, R Fok, H Gott, S Hughes, L Jones, M Kalita, A King, L March, M Marner, T Mynes, A Plant, S Price, J Sercombe, A Stolton, M Wallis, M West, J Westcott, C Williams, R Wosley, L Yabsley.

**Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: J Greig, L Butland, J Sorrell, T Mitchell, A Alli, J Meiring, B Masake, C Rowson, L Smart, L Makey, S Moll, J Cunningham, K Ryalls, K Burchall, J Middle, Y Jackson, D Swift, J Cole, B Subramanian, F Okhuoya, M Edwards, C Bailey, R Warren, G Islam, M Ankcorn, S Birchall, P Jones, J Humphries, S Booth, C Evans.

**Portsmouth Hospitals NHS Trust**: S Wyllie, A Flatt, L Strakova, M Hayes, S Valentine, C James, M Wands, N Cortes, N Khan, R Porter, Z Martin, K Yip, H Preedy, H Chesterfield, T Dobson, C Walker.

**Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust**: M Llewelyn, A Dunne, L Latter, A Porges, J Price, J Paul, L Behar, L Robinson, A Murray, J Fitzpatrick, T Sargent, C Ridley, L Ortiz-Ruiz de Gordoa, D Gilliam, C McPherson, S Matthews, E Foreman, R Jarghese, A Beddoe, S Martin, S Shaw, D Wlazly, M Cole, A Gihawi, K Cole.

**Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: ME Török, T Gouliouris, L Bedford, RB Saunderson, I Mariolis, R Bousfield, I Ramsay, D Greaves, S Aliyu, K Cox, L Mlemba, L Whitehead, N Vyse, M Bolton

**South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: J Williams, P Lambert, D Chadwick, K Baillie, M Cain, R Bellamy, J Wong, J Thompson, H Vassallo, A Skotnicka, A Boyce, A Donnelly.

**University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: P Wilson, G FitzGerald, V Dean, K Warnes, A Reyes, S Rahman, L Tsang, J Williams, S Morris-Jones.

**Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust**: S Hopkins, E Witness, O Brady, E Woodford, T Pettifer, A McCadden, B Marks, S Collier, D Mack, S Warren, C Brown, A Lyons, S Taiyari, S Mepham, A Sweeney, L Brown.

**Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust**: C Auckland, A Potter, J Mandiza, M Hough, S Williams, C Renton, F Walters, M Nadolski, M Hough, A Evans, P Tarrant, S Williams, K Curley, S Whiteley, J Halpin, M Hutchings, S Todd, C Lohan, T Chapter, E Folland, A Colville, K Marden, M Morgan, R Fok, R Porter, M Baxter.

**The Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust**: J Minton, S Rippon, M Cevik, J Chapman, T Kemp, R Vincent, D Osborne, T Platt, J Calderwood, B Cook, C Bedford, L Galloway-Browne, N Abberley, K Attack, J Allen.

Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust: P Lal, M Harrison, S Stevenson, C Brooks, P Harlow, J Ewing, S Cooper, R Balancio-Tolentino, L O'Neil, R Tagney, D Shackcloth.

**St George's Healthcare NHS Trust**: T Planche, J Fellows, R Millett, J Studham, C de Souza, G Howell, H Greaves, E Foncel, R Kurup, J Briggs, M Smith, C Suarez, G Sorrentino, A Scobie, A Houston, F Ahmad, A Breathnach, R Chahuan, K Wilkins.

**Blackpool Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: A Guleri, N Waddington, R Sharma, P Flegg, V Kollipara, M Alam, A Potter, S Donaldson, C Armer, J Frudd.

**King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**: D Jeyaratnam, M Joy, A Mathews, SK Glass, A Ajayi, A Fife, S Qaiser, S Sheehan, S Muñoz Villaverde, NO Yogo, I De Abreu, G Notcheva, J Flanagan, C Watson, E Sais, A Adedayo, V Chu, G Shaw, MA Graver, R Palmer, D Palmer, S Haile, J Gordon, C Kai Tam, Mandar K, W Szypura.

**Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust:** N Jenkins, J Marange, V Shabangu, K Moore, J Lyons, M Munang, M Sangombe, E Moran, A Hussain.

**University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust**: M Wiselka, A Lewszuk, S Batham, K Ellis, L Bahadur, H White, M Pareek, A Sahota, S Coleman, H Pateman, A Kotecha, C Sim, A Rosser.

**County Durham and Darlington NHS Foundation Trust**: D Nayar, J Deane, R Nendick, C Aldridge, A Clarke, M Wood, A Marshall, L Stephenson, T Matheson-Smith, J Sloss, K Potts, J Malkin, L Ftika, V Raviprakash.

**University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust**: J Sutton, A Malachira, M Kean, K Criste, K Gladas, C Andrews, C Hutchison, E Adams, J Andrews, B Romans, N Ridley, M Ekani, J Mitchell, N Smith, T Clark, S Glover, R Reed, T Yam, H Burton, R Said. **Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**: D Harvey, A Janvier, R

Jacob, C Smalley, A Fair.

**Dartford & Gravesham NHS Trust**: A Gonzalez-Ruiz, S Lord, K Ripalda, H Wooldridge, L Cotter, G Cardoso, E Strachan, G Kaler, A Mohamoodally, E Lawrence, Z Prime, R Abrahams.

**The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: DA Price, L Rigden, L Shewan, K Cullen, I Emmerson, K Martin, H Wilson, C Higham, K L Taylor, E Ong, B Patel, H Bond, J Gradwell, J Widdrington.

**North Cumbria University Hospitals**: C Graham, S Thornthwaite, S Prentice, U Poultney, H Crowther, H Fairlamb, E Hetherington, C Brewer, S Banerjee, C Hamson, A McSkeane.

**Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**: P McWhinney, P Sharratt, J Thorpe, S Kimachia, H Wilson B Jeffs, L Masters, J Wilson, J Platt, L Burgess.

**Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust**: P Chadwick, A Jeans, C Keatley, A Moran, Z Swann, K Pagett, A Peel, J Howard.

Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust: S Meisner, K Maloney, A Masdin, L Wright.

**Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust**: G Barlow, S Crossman, V Lowthorpe, E Moore, P Moss, A Parkin, A Wolstencroft, B Warner, C Tarbotton, A Eyre, A Anderson, T Burdett, A Driffill.

**Trial Coordination and Oversight - MRC CTU at UCL, London**: AS Walker, F Hudson, A Szubert, J Cairns, D Ward, H Webb, C Russell, B Jackson, D Otiko. **Data Management Systems**: C Borg, L Masters, Z Islam, C Diaz, D Johnson

**Trial Steering Committee:** (Independent) A Martineau (Chair), A Kaasch, G Scott, J Bostock. (Team) G Thwaites, AS Walker, G Barlow, S Hopkins.

Data Monitoring Committee: D Lalloo (Chair), M Wilcox, D Altman.

#### Independent Endpoint Review Committee: T Peto, G Cooke.

### Additional funding acknowledgements:

ME Török is a Clinician Scientist Fellow funded by the Academy of Medical Sciences, the Health Foundation and the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre

#### **Supplementary Methods**

#### (a) Blinded Endpoint Review Committee

The blinded independent Endpoint Review Committee (ERC) consisted of two infectious disease physicians with experience in acute/general medicine (Professor Tim Peto, Oxford; Professor Graham Cooke, Imperial). Potential failures/recurrences were identified through questions regarding signs and symptoms of ongoing or new *S. aureus* infection on routine case record forms, and by electronic searching of new or ongoing foci of infection being reported, and of *S. aureus* isolated from any microbiological specimen. For all such potential failures/recurrences, a structured clinical narrative was completed by the site physician and approved by the site Principal Investigator. All reported failures, recurrences and deaths were then adjudicated using standardised proformas by the blinded ERC without knowledge of randomized allocation.

#### (b) Statistical methods

Time-to-event analyses measured time from randomization. Analyses of clinical outcomes censored at the earliest of 12 weeks from randomization and the last clinical information. Analyses of mortality censored at the earliest of 12 weeks or last vital status information (including that ascertained at trial closure through the National Health Service records). Analyses of mortality post-recurrence censored at the last vital status information.

To estimate continuously varying cause-specific event rates (hazards) we used flexible parametric models based on the standard Weibull model.<sup>1, 2</sup> The underlying Weibull model has monotonic (i.e. always increasing or always decreasing) hazard, but the flexible parametric models introduce additional terms in the hazard linearisation (via natural cubic splines) which allow event rates to increase and then decrease or vice versa. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was used to identify the number of interior knots for the natural cubic splines (between 0 and 4).<sup>2</sup> For recurrence, *S. aureus*-related mortality and non-*S. aureus*-related mortality, the best fitting model according to AIC was with 1 interior knot at the 50th percentile of the uncensored survival times, plus 2 boundary knots at their minimum and maximum.

#### Predictors of recurrence

Predictors of recurrence were identified using competing risks methods.<sup>3</sup> A multivariate model was based on backwards elimination with exit p=0.1 to identify an exploratory model including non-linearity by fractional polynomials where  $p \le 0.05$ , forcing randomized arm, gender, age at randomization, predominant focus of infection and Charlson co-morbidity score into the model. Even given the trial's size, the number of events was modest: however, given the lack of evidence to date on predictors of recurrence (and failure, see below), we considered all factors in **Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 1**, excluding physician-determined factors (imaging and primary antibiotics), and excluding any factors where no participants suffered recurrence in one or more categories (e.g. in intensive care at randomization). The sepsis-related organ failure assessment (SOFA) score is the sum of a number of components: as recommended, component scores were set to missing where unknown (1-7% across components). Continuous factors with evidence of outliers were truncated at the 1st and 99th (or 2.5th and 97.5th) percentiles based on the distribution.

690 (91.0%) of the 758 included participants had complete data for all factors. A small number of participants had missing data for binary (e.g. yes/no) factors (numbers given in

**Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 1**); these participants were assumed to belong to the modal (i.e. most common) category, except for predominant focus of infection where participants with missing data were assumed to belong to category, "not established"; and portal of entry, where participants with missing data were assumed to belong to category, "not known (absence of any of the above)". With these assumptions, 727 (95.9%) participants had complete data and were used for initial variable selection. A final model was then refitted to all observations with complete data for the selected factors, and the remaining factors were re-checked and included if  $p \le 0.1$ .

Interactions with randomized arm were included where p≤0.05 combining categories with small numbers of recurrences ( $\leq$ 3) for model stability; all interactions meeting this threshold when included individually were included together in the final model (as power for interactions may be low, these could have p>0.05 in the final model). As focus of infection had a large number of categories, and was a priori a key variable of interest given the potential for rifampicin to benefit participants with deep-seated infections, interactions with randomized arm were explored by categorising foci as deep-seated or other (including not established as other; main effects for all foci, interaction for deep-seated vs. other only), and deep-seated, other or not established (three categories). A deep-seated focus was pre-defined in the main trial analysis as an infection of an implanted vascular device, native/prosthetic heart value or a native/prosthetic bone/joint, or a deep tissue infection/abscess (including vertebral bone/disc or other bone infection, epidural or intraspinal empyema, infected intravascular thrombus, brain infection). Interactions with randomized arm were also explored for each focus with  $\geq 1$  recurrence in each randomized arm (i.e. main effects for all foci, interaction for relevant focus only) pooled as follows: native heart valve and native joint / vertebral bone/disc; prosthetic heart valve/joint / implanted vascular device and deep tissue infection/abscess / epidural/intraspinal empyema / infected intravascular thrombus; and skin/soft tissue / surgical wound / pneumonia and central/peripheral venous line.

The recurrence models above deliberately included only factors that were not subject to physician choice, in particular use of imaging and primary antibiotic type, since these could be on the causal pathway between baseline characteristics and outcomes, and hence be mediators of any effect of rifampicin. We therefore considered whether there was any effect of performing imaging or primary antibiotic type only in addition to the factors in the final model above. Imaging performed was defined as transthoracic/transoesophageal echocardiogram at/before day 3 (to allow short delays due to scheduling), or ultrasound/MRI/PET/PET CT recorded on the baseline/day 3 case record form (as specific dates of ultrasound/MRI/PET/PET CT scans were not collected). Primary (active) antibiotic type was defined by antibiotics received between days -1 and 4 from randomization (to match the visit windows for imaging) and was classified as flucloxacillin only, flucloxacillin in combination with other antibiotic(s), any other betalactam, non-betalactam, or MRSA.

#### Points-based risk score

A points-based risk score, where each predictor of recurrence is assigned a number of points, and the higher an individual participant's score the higher their recurrence risk, was developed, first based on the coefficients for each factor in the model. Since this final model included both main effects of rifampicin and interactions with rifampicin, the score (reflecting underlying risk regardless of randomized arm) was based on coefficients for the placebo arm where factors were included with an interaction with randomized arm. Factors were included in the risk score if  $p \le 0.1$  or the absolute value of the coefficient for a categorical factor was  $\ge 0.2$  or if the absolute value of the coefficient multiplied by the

factor's inter-quartile range for a continuous factor was  $\geq 0.2$ . Continuous factors were categorised using clinically appropriate cut-offs and the mid-point of each category calculated<sup>4</sup> (for categories with no minimum or maximum value, a clinically appropriate value was chosen). The number of points associated with each category was then based on the difference between the midpoint of that category and the reference category. Charlson and SOFA scores were treated as continuous (i.e. risk score increases/decreases for each Charlson or SOFA point, but with a maximum increase/decrease based on the maximum Charlson and SOFA scores in the data). Coefficients were then divided by the coefficient nearest zero and rounded to the nearest integer giving an initial score value, reflecting a participant's risk of recurrence had they been assigned placebo. The initial score values were then further modified by iteratively dropping factors that added the least predictive ability to the model (age, chronic lung disease), assessed by using the integrated discrimination improvement<sup>5</sup>. This initial score reflects the best performance possible from translating a full continuous linear predictor into a points-based score. However, it is not practical for bedside use (Supplementary Table 3). We therefore compared its performance to a simplified score which initially included points only for factors with  $p \le 0.005$  in the final multivariable model (immunosuppression, diabetes and liver or renal disease; area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC)=0.71), then considered the integrated discrimination improvement from adding other factors one at a time. Only BMI significantly improved discrimination and therefore this was added to create a five factor simplified score (AUROC=0.74).

Discriminative ability was measured using the non-parametric area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC), and calibration using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit  $\chi^2$  test evaluated on arms defined by quintiles; all performance measures were calculated for a binary outcome ignoring competing risks.<sup>6</sup>

Number needed to treat was calculated based on observed data, and also predicted from a competing risks model. To do this, a model containing only the recurrence score and randomized arm was fitted. Corresponding cumulative incidences of recurrence at 12 weeks was then obtained, separately by arm, by setting the recurrence score to each value of interest and arm to either rifampicin or placebo. The differences in incidence and numbers needed to treat were then calculated.

To explore whether the reduction in recurrence risk with rifampicin differed by initial risk, a model containing the recurrence score, randomized arm and their interaction was fitted.

#### Predictors of S. aureus-related mortality, non-S. aureus related mortality and failure

Predictors of *S. aureus*-related mortality and non-*S. aureus* related mortality were identified similarly to predictors of recurrence, counting the other cause of death as a competing risk (for *S. aureus*-related mortality, interaction with randomized arm was not explored for immunosuppression as only one death was observed in those with immunosuppression). Predictors of failure at 14 days were identified using logistic regression, excluding participants who died or experienced recurrence by this time to match the competing risks analyses of the other outcomes (as, by definition, these participants could not have experienced failure).

### Supplementary Figure 1 Effect of time from first new symptom caused by *S. aureus* to starting antibiotics at baseline on risk of (a) recurrence and (b) failure

#### (a) Recurrence









### Supplementary Figure 2 Points-based risk score for recurrence based on (a) full model and (b) simplified model

# Supplementary Table 1 Additional characteristics at randomization of all participants in the trial and all those subsequently suffering recurrence, *S. aureus* related mortality, non-*S. aureus* related mortality and failure

Factor	Total N=758* n	Recurrence	Uni-	S. aureus-	Uni-	Non-S. aureus	Uni-	Failure N=48	Uni-
	(col%) or	N=31 (4.1%)	variable p	related	variable	related mortality	variable	(6.3%)	variable
	median (IQR)	n (row%) or		mortality N=56	р	N=56 (7.4%)	р	n (row%) or	р
		median (IQR)		(7.4%)		n (row%) or		median (IQR)	
				n (row%) or		median (IQR)			
				median (IQR)					
Mode of acquisition*			0.24		0.46		0.23		0.098
Community acquired	485 (64.0%)	21 (4.3%)		39 (8.0%)		30 (6.2%)		38 (7.8%)	
Nosocomial infection (≥48h post admission)	132 (17.4%)	2 (1.5%)		10 (7.6%)		12 (9.1%)		5 (3.8%)	
Healthcare associated (all other)	140 (18.5%)	8 (5.7%)		7 (5.0%)		14 (10.0%)		5 (3.6%)	
Likely portal of entry of S. aureus into the			0.85		0.004		0.55		0.14
bloodstream									
Genitourinary/fetal (including urological	21 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)		1 (4.8%)		3 (14.3%)		2 (9.5%)	
surgery)									
Iatrogenic skin break (surgery, non-urinary	214 (28.2%)	11 (5.1%)		5 (2.3%)		15 (7.0%)		8 (3.7%)	
catheter)									
Non-iatrogenic skin break (skin or soft tissue	173 (22.8%)	9 (5.2%)		25 (14.5%)		16 (9.2%)		16 (9.2%)	
infection, IVDU)									
Lung	29 (3.3%)	1 (3.4%)		6 (20.7%)		2 (6.9%)		1 (3.4%)	
Not known (absence of any of the above)	218 (28.8%)	10 (4.6%)		19 (8.7%)		19 (8.7%)		21 (9.6%)	
Not completed (missing data)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)		0 (0.0%)		1 (33.3%)		0 (0.0%)	
CRP at first positive blood culture (mg/L) (N=756)	170 (3.9)	188 (17.4)	0.13	215 (15.8)	0.003	174 (14.5)	0.99	220 (19.1)	0.001
†		(N=30)		(N=55)					
Neutrophil count at first positive blood culture	8.1 (5.3, 12.0)	7.3 (4.4, 9.9)	0.24	11.6 (8.2, 15.6)	< 0.0001	9.0 (5.6, 15.6)	0.04	10.6 (7.4, 15.9)	0.001
$(10^{9}/L)$ (N=753)		(N=30)							
Lymphocyte count at first positive blood culture	0.9 (0.6, 1.4)	0.9 (0.7, 1.2)	0.64	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	0.32	0.8 (0.5, 1.2)	0.04	0.8 (0.4, 1.3)	0.17
$(10^{9}/L)$ (N=752)		(N=30)				(N=55)			
Active injecting drug use (N=751)	83 (10.9%)	3 (3.6%)	0.93	2 (2.4%)	0.09	3 (3.6%)	0.23	2 (2.4%)	0.12
								(N=47)	
Vascular catheter in situ at screening ** (N=744)	191 (25.7%)	10 (5.2%)	0.40	5 (2.6%)	0.008	15 (7.9%)	0.86	3 (1.6%)	0.004
				(N=54)				(N=47)	
Surgery in the last 30 days (N=756)	90 (11.9%)	3 (3.3%)	0.68	4 (4.4%)	0.24	7 (7.8%)	0.87	6 (6.7%)	0.90
Peripheral-/cerebro-vascular/peptic ulcer disease /	224 (29.6%)	10 (4.5%)	0.78	29 (12.9%)	0.0003	27 (12.1%)	0.003	20 (8.9%)	0.04
congestive heart failure / history of MI / dementia*									

Factor	Total N=758* n	Recurrence	Uni-	S. aureus-	Uni-	Non-S. aureus	Uni-	Failure N=48	Uni-
	(col%) or	N=31 (4.1%)	variable p	related	variable	related mortality	variable	(6.3%)	variable
	median (IQR)	n (row%) or		mortality N=56	р	N=56 (7.4%)	р	n (row%) or	р
		median (IQR)		(7.4%)		n (row%) or		median (IQR)	
				n (row%) or		median (IQR)			
				median (IQR)					
Time from positive blood culture to starting	0 (0, 1)	0 (0, 1)	0.31	0 (0, 0)	0.04	0 (0, 1)	0.85	0 (0, 0)	0.02
antibiotics (days)									
In intensive care unit*	70 (9.2%)	0 (0.0%)	-	11 (15.7%)	0.008	4 (5.7%)	0.53	6 (8.6%)	0.33
Transferred from another hospital	57 (7.5%)	1 (1.8%)	0.36	4 (7.0%)	0.86	5 (8.8%)	0.70	5 (8.8%)	0.46
Imaging performed	522 (68.9%)	26 (5.0%)	0.08	34 (6.5%)	0.15	35 (6.7%)	0.25	39 (7.5%)	0.08
Backbone antibiotic therapy‡			0.72		0.51		0.16		0.52
MSSA: flucloxacillin alone	174 (23.0%)	10 (5.7%)		10 (5.7%)		8 (4.6%)		7 (4.0%)	
MSSA: flucloxacillin in combination with	398 (52.5%)	15 (3.8%)		29 (7.3%)		34 (8.5%)		28 (7.0%)	
other antibiotic(s)									
MSSA: other beta-lactam(s)	77 (10.2%)	3 (3.9%)		9 (11.7%)		8 (10.4%)		6 (7.8%)	
MSSA: other	62 (8.2%)	2 (3.2%)		4 (6.5%)		1 (1.6%)		3 (4.8%)	
MRSA	47 (6.2%)	1 (2.1%)		4 (8.5%)		5 (10.6%)		4 (8.5%)	

\* One participant withdrew shortly after randomization without an enrolment form having been completed: most baseline characteristics (indicated with \*) are therefore missing for this one participant. If any other participants had missing data, then denominators are shown.

† Mean (SE) estimated using normal interval regression to account for values above limit of quantification in one centre.

\*\* Vast majority of vascular catheters had been removed by randomization.

‡ Defined by antibiotics received between days -1 and 4.

Note: showing n(% of row) for categorical factors, or median (IQR) for continuous factors other than CRP where mean(SE) is shown. p-values from competing risks regression (recurrence, *S. aureus*-related mortality, non-*S. aureus* related mortality) or logistic regression (failure).

#### Supplementary Table 2 Further details of recurrences

_																		
	Participa nt given placebo or rifampici n?	Focus at initial episode	Focus at recurren ce	Days between onset of symptom s relating to first bacterae mia and start of antibiotic s	Days betwee n first positive blood culture and recurren ce	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Achiev ed source control of initial episod e?	Days from first positiv e blood culture to remov al of source	On antibiotic s at time of recurrenc e?	Days betwee n stopping antibioti cs and recurren ce	Antibiotic(s) prescribed during initial episode up until date of recurrence (total number of days on antibiotic) <sup>1</sup>	Imaging perform ed (days from first positive blood culture to imaging)	Focus identifi ed and confirm ed during initial episode ?	Did the focus change between the initial episode and recurrenc e? (If so, was focus on recurrenc e a local or distant new focus?)	Was the recurrence confirmed bacteriologica Ily?	Level of certaint y of recurren ce	Interpretat	Has the participant died? (Weeks since randomizati on) <sup>2</sup>
1	Placebo	Skin/soft tissue (excludin g wounds)	Other bone; deep tissue infection or abscess	0	13	28.1	No	Source not remov ed	Yes	Not applicab le	Co- amoxiclavulante (3); <b>Flucloxacillin</b> (12)	TTE (4); SPECT/C T (9)*; CT (12)*; MRI (16)*; US (30)*	Yes	Yes (distant)	No	Definite	Probably Failure Of Antibiotic Treatment	Died (20.0)
2	Placebo	Central venous line (includin g picc line)	Not establish ed	1	74	34.9	Yes	3	No	30	Linezolid (3); Daptomycin (1); <b>Flucloxacillin</b> (41)	PET/CT (2) <sup>+</sup> ; TTE (4); PET/CT (8) <sup>+</sup>	Yes	Focus not establish ed on one or both episodes	No	Possible	Not Possible To Distinguish Whether Antibiotic Or Source Manageme nt Failure	Died (10.3)
3	Placebo	Implante d vascular device	Implante d vascular device	0	69	28.1	No	Source not remov ed	No	40	Flucloxacillin (29)	TOE (5); US (date not reported )	Yes	No	Yes	Definite	Probably Failure Of Source Manageme nt - Source Recognised , Not Actively Managed*	Died (150.3)

		Not	Vertebra					Source			Co- amoxiclavulante (2); Doxycycline	MRI (4) <sup>+</sup> ; TOE (5); US (11) <sup>+</sup> ; TTE (11);		Focus not establish ed on one or			Probably Failure Of Source Manageme nt - Source	Not kno
		establish	bone/dis					remov			(3); Flucloxacillin	MRI		both			Not	to have
4	Placebo	ed	с	1	51	41.2	No	ed	No	34	(14)	(89)⁺	No	episodes	No**	Definite	Recognised	(82.7)
														Focus not			Failure Of	
														establish			Source	
								Source				US (2);		ed on			Manageme	
			Not				Unkno	not				TTE (4);		one or			nt - Source	
_		Surgical	establish				wn	remov			Gentamicin (1);	US (6)⁺;		both			Not	
5	Placebo	wound	ed	2	68	24.9	source	ed	No	50	Flucloxacillin (18)	TTE (70)	No	episodes	Yes	Definite	Recognised	Died (11
																	Probably Eailure Of	
																	Source	
																	Manageme	
																	nt - Source	
		Skin/soft	Skin/soft								Gentamicin (1);						Recognised	
		tissue	tissue								Co-						, Actively	
		(excludin	(excludin								amoxiclavulante	TTE (3);					Managed,	Not know
6	Disselse	g ala)	g ala)	2	40	45.0	Deutiel	0	Na	21	(3); Flucloxacillin	MRI (6);	Vaa	Na	Na	Dessible	But Still	to have d
0	Placebo	wounds)	wounds)	2	40	45.0	Partial	9	INO	51	(13)	XK (48)	res	NO	INO	Possible	Probably	(24.1)
																	Failure Of	
																	Source	
																	Manageme	
																	nt - Source	
																	Recognised	
		Implante	Implante								Vancomycin (5);						, Actively	
		d	d							Not	Gentamicin (2);						Managed,	Not know
-	Diacobe	vascular	vascular	, n	20	<b>20</b> 1	Voc	1	Voc	applicab	Flucioxacillin (6);	TTE (2)	Voc	No	Voc	Dofinito	But Still Bocurrod	to have d
	FIACEDO	uevice	uevice	2	29	28.1	162	1	162	le		116 (3)	162	NU	165	Dennite	Not	(30.1)
																	Possible To	
																	Distinguish	
		Skin/soft	Skin/soft														Whether	
		tissue	tissue					Source									Antibiotic	
		(excludin	(excludin					not				TTE (2);					Or Source	
		g	g					remov				CT (5)⁺;					Manageme	
8	Placebo	wounds)	wounds)	1	51	27.7	Yes	ed	No	29	Flucloxacillin (21)	XR (54)+	Yes	No	Yes	Definite	nt Failure	Died (7.0)

												TTE (3); MRI (3) <sup>+</sup> ;						
												MRI						
		Implante										(10)⁺ TOT						
		0 vascular										10E (22)- CT						
		device:	Implanto									(25), CT						
		vertebral	d									angiogra						
		bone/dis	vascular									m (37):					Probably	
		с;	device;									TTE (46);					Failure Of	
		skin/soft	deep									CT (57);					Source	
		tissue	tissue					Source				MRI					Manageme	
		(excludin	infection					not			Piperacillin/tazoba	(133)+;					nt - Source	Not
		g	or					remov			ctam (3);	TTE		Partially			Not	to ł
9	Placebo	wounds)	abscess	1	25	29.3	No	ed	No	8	Flucloxacillin (14)	(133)	No	(local)	Yes	Definite	Recognised	(18
ĺ												XR (1);						
1												11E (4);					Drobable	
												IVIRI (21):		Focus not			Probably	
			Vortobra									(31); MPI		octablish			Failure Of	
								Source				(33)· XR		ed on			Manageme	
		Not	bone/dis					not		Not	Vancomycin (1):	(33): XR		one or			nt - Source	
1		establish	c; other					remov		applicab	Ciprofloxacin (2);	(60); CT		both		Probabl	Not	
0	Placebo	ed	bone	2	33	27.9	No	ed	Yes	le	Ceftriaxone (31)	(88)+	No	episodes	No	е	Recognised	Died
												US (4)+;						
			Vertebra									TTE (4);						
			1									MRI (6);					Probably	
			bone/dis									TTE (7);					Failure Of	
			с;									US (11) <sup>+</sup> ;					Source	
		Epidural	epidural									TOE					Manageme	
		or	or intrachin					Source				(12); MPI					nt - Source	
		al	al					not			Clarithromycin (2)	(58)					Not	Not
1		empyem	emovem					remov			Flucloxacillin (20)	MRI		Partially			Actively	to h
1	Placebo	a	a	4	57	20.8	No	ed	No	9	Ceftriaxone (26)	(209)	Yes	(local)	Yes	Definite	Managed	(59.
-		-	-		51				-			TTE (2);		( )				(23)
												US (2)+;						
												CT (9)+;						
												TOE					Probably	
												(11);		Focus not			Failure Of	
												PET/CT		establish			Source	
			Implante					Source				(15); US		ed on			Manageme	
		Not	d .					not			Piperacillin/tazoba	(23) <sup>+</sup> ; XR		one or			nt - Source	Not
1		establish	vascular	-			l	remov		-	ctam (3);	(48)*;	l	both			Not	tok
2	Placeho	ed	device	2	48	22.0	I NO	ed	No	1 3	Flucloxacillin (43)	TTE (51):	No	episodes	Yes	Definite	Recognised	1 (60.

												TOE (63); TTE (97); TTE						
												(134)						
1	Placebo	Central venous line (includin g picc line)	Central venous line (includin g picc line)	2	37	27.0	Yes	3	No	21	Vancomycin (1); Gentamicin (1); Co- amoxiclavulante (1) <b>Flucloxacillin</b> (15)	TTE (39); TTE (45); US doppler (50)	Yes	Yes (distant)	Yes	Definite	Probably Failure Of Source Manageme nt - Source Not Recognised	Not k to hay (87.0)
												MRI (0); CT (2) <sup>+</sup> ; TTE (4); MRI (17); MRI (59); TTE						
1		Native	Vertebra					Source not remov			Flucloxacillin (23):	(60); MRI (92); MRI (103); CT		Yes			Probably Failure Of Source Manageme nt - Source Not	Not k
4	Placebo	joint	C Not	6	58	37.7	No	ed	No	35	Fusidic Acid (1)	(219)* TOE (3); MRI (5)*; TTE (6)*	No	(distant) Focus not establish ed on	Yes	Definite	Recognised Probably Failure Of Source Manageme	(53.9
1 5	Placebo	establish ed	establish ed	15	27	25.2	wn source	remov ed	No	8	ctam (1); Flucloxacillin (17) Co- amoxiclavulante	MRI (9)⁺; XR (25)	No	both episodes	Yes	Definite	Not Recognised	to ha (87.7
1	Placebo	Vertebra l bone/dis c	Vertebra l bone/dis c	3	29	40.1	No	Source not remov ed	Yes	Not applicab le	<ul> <li>(1);</li> <li>Benzylpenicillin</li> <li>(1); Flucloxacillin</li> <li>(12); Teicoplanin</li> <li>(18)</li> </ul>	TOE (3); MRI (6); CT (10) <sup>+</sup> ; MRI (31);	Yes	No	Νο	Definite	Probably Failure Of Antibiotic Treatment	Not k to ha (30.6

																	Probably	
																	, Failure Of	
																	Source	
		Implante										115 (3)+-					Manageme	
		d										TTE (6)					nt - Source	
		u vascular	Implanto					Sourco				112 (0),					Recognised	
		dovicov	d					pot		Not	Eluciovacillin (0)	dopplor					Not	Not known
1		uevice,	u					not		not	Plucioxaciiiii (9),						, NUL	to have died
1	Disasha	surgical	Vascular	2	14	21.1	Na	remov	Vee	applicab	Daptomycin (7);	(7); TUE	Vaa	Ne	No	Definite	Actively	(10 G)
/	Placebo	wound	device	3	14	31.1	NO	eu	res	le	Ritampicin (9)	(9)	res	INO	NO	Dennite	Nanageu	(19.0)
		Control	Control														Probably	
		Central	Central														Failure Of	
		venous	venous														Source	
		line	line								Vancomycin (7);	US (14)⁺;					Manageme	
		(includin	(includin								Doxycycline (7);	PET/CT					nt - Source	Not known
1		g picc	g picc								Cefazolin (11);	(44); TTE					Not	to have died
8	Placebo	line)	line)	2	41	24.4	Partial	3	No	22	Teicoplanin (1)	(45)	Partially	No	Yes	Definite	Recognised	(13.9)
																	Probably	
																	Failure Of	
																	Source	
		Central	Central														Manageme	
		venous	venous														nt - Source	
		line	line					Source			Vancomycin (2);						Recognised	
		(includin	(includin					not			Piperacillin/tazoba						, Not	Not known
1		g picc	g picc					remov			ctam (3);	US (3)+;		Yes			Actively	to have died
9	Placebo	line)	line)	3	51	25.1	No	ed	No	20	Flucloxacillin (29)	TTE (3)	Yes	(distant)	Yes	Definite	Managed	(19.7)
												TTE (-3);						
												TTE (3);						
												MRI						
												(23); TTE					Probably	
		Native										(24); CT					Failure Of	
		heart										(28)+;					Source	
		valve;						Source			Co-	TTE (32);					Manageme	
		vertebral	Native					not			amoxiclavulante	CT (35)+;					nt - Source	
2		bone/dis	heart					remov			(2): Flucloxacillin	MRI					Not	
0	Placebo	c	valve	0	20	44.1	No	ed	No	3	(16)	(35)+	No	No	Yes	Definite	Recognised	Died (4.4)
		Central	-				-	-	-		、 ,	, - <i>i</i>	-	-			Probably	
		venous										US (3)*:					Failure Of	
		line										CT (3)+·					Source	
		(includin										$US(5)^{+}$					Manageme	
		g nicc										CT (5)+·					nt - Source	
		5 picc	Skin/soft									TTF (8)					Recognised	
		skin/soft	ticcuo								Vancomycin (1):	115 (22)+-		Voc			Activoly	
1		ticcup	(ovcludin								Clarithromycin (2)	TTE /OE1.		luncortai			, Actively	Not known
2		(oveludin									Morononom (15):	TTE (03);		n			Dut Still	to have died
	Dlacabe	excluuin	B Wounds)	4	0.4	F0 F	Vac	1	No	<b>C7</b>	Interopenenii (15);	(122)+	Vac	II location	No	Dessible	Dut Still Docurrod	
ΙT	Placebo	g	wounds)	1	84	58.5	res	1 1	INO	/ ט	Levonoxacin (12)	(122)	res	location)	NO	Possible	Recurred	(17.0)

		wounds)																
			Skin/soft															
			tissue															
			(excludin									US					Not	
			g									doppler					Possible To	
			wounds:									(3): TTF					Distinguish	
		Skin/soft	deen									(3)· XR					Whathar	
		ticcuo	ticcuo					Sourco				(3), XI					Antibiotic	
		(issue	tissue					Source				(20), AR					Antibiotic	
-		(excludin	Infection					not				(28); C1					Or Source	
2		g	or					remov				(28); XR		Yes		Probabl	Manageme	
2	Placebo	wounds)	abscess	4	31	17.6	No	ed	No	13	Flucloxacillin (15)	(28);	Yes	(distant)	Yes	e	nt Failure	Died (3.7)
																	Not	
		Deep															Possible To	
		tissue												Focus not			Distinguish	
		infection												establish			Whether	
		or						Source						ed on			Antibiotic	
		abscoss	Not				Linkno	not			60	TTE (A)-		ono or				Not known
2		auscess,	NOL				UTIKITU	1101			CU-	TTE (4),		bie bi			Of Source	
2	<u>.</u>	pneumo	establish				wn	remov		50	amoxiciavulante	TTE (75);		both			wanageme	to have died
3	Placebo	nia	ea	0	/3	41.8	source	ea	NO	59	(14)	CI (78) <sup>-</sup>	NO	episodes	Yes	Definite	nt Failure	(10.9)
																	Not	
																	Possible To	
		Central															Distinguish	
		venous															Whether	
		line															Antibiotic	
		(includin								Not	Vancomvcin (1)	XR (9)·					Or Source	Not known
2	Rifamnici	g nicc	Nativo							annlicah	Gentamicin (1):	115 (11)+		Voc			Managemo	to have died
2	niampici	g picc	isist	1	14	27.0	Vec	2	Vec	applicab	Flueleveeillin (42)	05 (11) MDI (11)	Vac	(distant)	No	Definite	nt Failura	(149.6)
4	11	Martala a	JUIIL	1	44	27.0	162	2	162	ie	FIUCIOXACIIIIII (42)		162	(uisidiil)	NU	Dennite	Drahabl	(140.0)
		vertebra	vertebra					source									Probably	
		1						not									Failure Of	Not known
2	Rifampici	bone/dis	bone/dis					remov			Flucloxacillin (4);	MRI (4)*;					Antibiotic	to have died
5	n	с	С	23	78	16.9	No	ed	No	30	Ceftriaxone (43)	TTE (4)	Yes	No	No	Definite	Treatment	(144.9)
		Vertebra	Vertebra									CT (1);					Probably	
		I										MRI (1):					Failure Of	
		bone/dis	bone/dis									TTF (7)					Source	
		c' deen	c' deen					Source				MRI					Managemo	
		ticcuo	ticcuo					pot		Not	Moronom (2):	(1 = )+.					nt Source	Notknown
2		ussue	ussue					100		NUL	Management (2);	(15);		Dautially			Deservised	to have dis i
2	кітатрісі	intection	intection	-				remov		аррисар	vancomycin (8);			Partially			Recognised	to have died
6	n	or	or	8	16	23.7	No	ed	Yes	le	Teicoplanin (6);	(96)⁺	Yes	(local)	No	Definite	, Not	(22.4)

		abscess	abscess														Actively	
																	Managed	
																	Probably	
																	Failure Of	
		Control	Control														Managomo	
		Venous	Venous														nt - Source	
		line	line					Source									Recognised	
		(includin	(includin					not				TTE (9):					. Not	Not known
2	Rifampici	g picc	g picc					remov				CT (54);					Actively	to have died
7	n	line)	line)	1	51	22.5	No	ed	No	32	Vancomycin (18)	TTE (65)	Yes	No	Yes	Definite	Managed	(86.0)
		Native															Probably	
		joint;															Failure Of	
		skin/soft						<i>.</i>				US (3)⁺;					Source	
		tissue	Vertebra					Source		Not	Amoxicillin (3);	11E (3);					Manageme	
2	Rifamnici		hone/dis					remov		applicab	Eluclovacillin (1),			Voc			Not	
8	n	δ wounds)	c	4	45	29.7	No	ed	Yes	le	Clindamycin (43)	(46)	No	(distant)	Yes	Definite	Recognised	Died (10.6)
-		noundo,	•	· · ·		2017						TTE (4):		(uiotairty		Dennite	incooginioeu	2.00 (20.0)
												MRI (7)⁺;						
												MRI					Probably	
												(10)+;		Focus not			Failure Of	
											Piperacillin/tazoba	MRI		establish			Source	
			Vertebra					Source			ctam (2);	(32);		ed on			Manageme	
		Not	1					not		Not	Flucloxacillin (19);	MRI		one or			nt - Source	Not known
2	Rifampici	establish	bone/dis	2	22	27.4	N	remov	¥	applicab	Vancomycin (5);	(42);	N	both	M	Definition	Not	to have died
9	n	ea	C Vortobra	2	22	27.4	NO	ea	res	ie	Ciprofioxacin (4)	IVIRI (46)	NO	episodes	Yes	Definite	Recognised	(40.9)
			l															
			bone/dis															
			c; deep														Probably	
			tissue														Failure Of	
		Vertebra	infection									XR (0);					Source	
		I	or									XR (0);					Manageme	
		bone/dis	abscess;									MRI (1);					nt - Source	
		c; deep	skin/soft									US					Recognised	
		tissue	tissue					Source				doppler					, Actively	
_	D:(	infection	(excludin					not		Not	Flucloxacillin (19);	(4); MRI		Destall		Deckel	Managed,	Not known
3	Rifampici	or	g wounds)	-	F.C.	20.4	No	remov	Vec	applicab	vancomycin (4);	(19); ITE	Vec	Partially	No	Probabl	But Still	to have died
U	11	abscess	wounds)	5	56	29.4	INU	eu	162	ie	Cinidaniycin (9)	(21)	162	(IOCal)	UVI	e	recuired	(34.7)

																	Probably	
																	Failure Of	
			Deep									XR (3)⁺;					Source	
			tissue									CT (3)⁺;					Manageme	
		Deep	infection									MRI					nt - Source	
		tissue	or					Source				(11);					Recognised	
		infection	abscess;					not		Not	Flucloxacillin (25);	MRI					, Not	Not known
3	Rifampici	or	native					remov		applicab	Vancomycin (3);	(14)+;		Partially		Probabl	Actively	to have died
1	n	abscess	joint	0	86	29.7	No	ed	Yes	le	Clindamycin (61)	MRI (66)	Yes	(local)	No	e	Managed	(14.1)

CT = computed tomography scan; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PET/CT = positron emission tomography/computed tomography; SPECT/CT = single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiogram; TTE = transthoracic echocardiogram; US = ultrasound scan; XR = plain radiograph

<sup>1</sup>Backbone antibiotic(s) in bold; Listed in chronological order of initial prescription of each antibiotic; Antibiotics and number of days documented only up until date of recurrence

<sup>2</sup>Includes information obtained at trial closure, relating to the time after 12 weeks

<sup>+</sup>Date recorded by study team rather than date of imaging (as date of imaging not collected)

\*Adjudicated as failure of antibiotic treatment in original report<sup>7</sup>, however after further blinded review considered failure of source management

\*\*Adjudicated as bacteriologically confirmed in original report<sup>7</sup>, however after further blinded review considered clinically confirmed only

## Supplementary Table 3 Points-based recurrence score based on full final multivariable model

Easter	Same malera since if	Minimum	Maariaaaaa
Factor	Score value given il		
	present	possible value	possible value
$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$	25	10r score	10r score
Starting value (constant)	35	35	35
Chronic patient factors		20	0
Charlson score (per point)	-2 (minimum -20)	-20	0
	10	0	10
Diabetes	6	0	6
Immunosuppressed‡	6	0	6
Renal disease*		0	12
No	0		
Moderate or severe	7		
End stage (requiring dialysis)	12		
BMI $(kg/m^2)$		0	7
≤25	0		
>25-30	2		
>30-35	3		
>35-40	5		
>40	7		
Infection related factors	,		
SOFA score (per point)	-1 (minimum -10)	-10	0
Prolonged time from first new symptom caused by S	2	0	2
<i>aureus</i> to starting antibiotics (>1 days)	_	-	_
Prolonged time from admission to positive blood culture	-2	-2	0
$(\geq 2 \text{ days})$		_	
Predominant focus of infection <sup>†</sup>		-2	2
Native heart valve	2		
Native joint / vertebral bone/disc / other bone	-2		
Deep tissue infection/abscess (including brain infection)	0		
/ epidural/intraspinal empyema / infected intravascular	Ŭ		
thrombus			
Prosthetic heart valve/ioint / Implanted vascular device	0		
Central/peripheral venous line	2		
Skin/soft tissue / surgical wound / pneumonia	0		
Not established	1		
Total	*	1	80
- ~ ****		L •	00

For example, a patient with Charlson score 2, 0 days from admission to positive blood culture, focus of infection skin/soft tissue / surgical wound / pneumonia, 1 day from first new symptom caused by *S. aureus* to starting antibiotics, end stage renal disease, SOFA score 4 and BMI 22 would have recurrence risk score = 35 - (2\*2) + 0 + 0 + 2 + 12 - (4\*1) + 0 = 41.

\* Renal disease and mild (including chronic hepatitis), moderate or severe liver disease defined as for the Charlson comorbidity index. Diabetes includes that with (as per Charlson) or without end-organ damage. End stage renal disease defined as requiring either peritoneal dialysis or haemodialysis.

† Individuals can have multiple foci, in which case they are included under the predominant category (native heart valve > native joint / vertebral bone/disc > deep tissue infection/abscess / epidural/intraspinal empyema / infected intravascular thrombus > prosthetic heart valve/joint / implanted vascular device > central/peripheral venous line > skin/soft tissue / surgical wound / pneumonia).

‡ Systemic corticosteroid therapy, neutropenia, currently receiving immune suppressive therapy (excluding antineoplastic chemotherapy), organ or marrow transplant, or living with HIV.

Supplementary Table 4. Observed risk of recurrence by full points-based recurrence	e
score	

Score	Total participants (%	Observed recurrences	Recurrences in	NNT	NNT
	of N=733 with	in placebo (%)	rifampicin (%)	observed	predicted*
	complete data)	[predicted %	[predicted %		
		recurrences <sup>*</sup> ]	recurrences*]		
1-10	0	- [0.0%]	- [0.0%]	-	-
11-30	110 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%) [0.2%]	0 (0.0%) [0.0%]	-	863
31-33	120 (16.4%)	1 (1.6%) [1.6%]	0 (0.0%) [0.4%]	63	89
34-36	190 (25.9%)	3 (3.0%) [2.9%]	0 (0.0%) [0.8%]	33	48
37-40	160 (21.8%)	4 (5.1%) [6.5%]	3 (3.7%) [1.9%]	68	22
41-60	153 (20.9%)	15 (18.1%) [55.5%]	5 (7.1%) [20.5%]	9	3
61-80	0	- [100.0%]	- [100.0%]	-	-

\* Predicted % recurrences and NNT are from the model for the mid-point score (rounded to nearest whole number) in each category

Note: presented graphically in Supplementary Figure 2.

# Supplementary Table 5. Observed risk of recurrence by simplified BIRDL recurrence score

Score	Total	Observed recurrences	Recurrences in	NNT	NNT predicted
	participants	in placebo (%)	rifampicin (%)	observed	
	(% of N=737	[predicted %	[predicted %		
	with complete	recurrences]	recurrences]		
	data)				
0	292 (40.1%)	3 (2.0%) [2.1%]	0 (0.0%) [0.8%]	49	74
1	185 (25.1%)	3 (3.6%) [4.0%]	2 (2.0%) [1.5%]	63	40
2	151 (20.3%)	6 (6.8%) [7.4%]	3 (4.8%) [2.8%]	49	22
3	67 (9.0%)	6 (17.1%) [13.5%]	1 (3.1%) [5.1%]	7	12
4	37 (4.9%)	4 (21.1%) [23.9%]	2 (11.1%) [9.5%]	10	7
5	3 (0.4%)	1 (33.3%) [40.2%]	0 (0.0%) [17.1%]	3	4
6	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%) [62.1%]	0 (0.0%) [29.7%]	-	3
7	0	- [83.9%]	- [48.6%]	-	3
8	0	- [96.8%]	- [71.5%]	-	4

Note: presented graphically in main Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 2.

NNT: number needed to treat

Note: presented graphically in Supplementary Figure 2.

#### **Supplementary References**

1. Royston P, Parmar MKB. Flexible parametric proportional-hazards and proportionalodds models for censored survival data, with application to prognostic modelling and estimation of treatment effects. *Statistics in Medicine* 2002; 21: 2175-97.

2. Lambert PC, Royston P. Further development of flexible parametric models for survival analysis. *The Stata Journal* 2009; 9(2): 265-90.

3. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A Proportional Hazards Model for the Subdistribution of a Competing Risk. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1999; 94(446): 496-509.

4. Austin PC, Lee DS, D'Agostino RB, Fine JP. Developing points-based risk-scoring systems in the presence of competing risks. *Statistics in Medicine* 2016; 35: 4056-72.

5. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino Sr RB, D'Agostino Jr RB, Vasan RS. Evaluating the added predictive ability of a new marker: From area under the ROC curve to reclassification and beyond. *Statistics in Medicine* 2008; 27: 157-72.

6. Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons; 1989.

7. Thwaites GE, Scarborough M, Szubert A, et al. Adjunctive rifampicin for Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia (ARREST): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *The Lancet* 2017: 1-11.