

# Sussex Research

### Responses of the terrestrial ecosystem productivity to droughts inside China

Jianguo Li, Yi Wang, Lili Liu

#### Publication date

17-03-2020

#### Licence

This work is made available under the CC BY 4.0 licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

#### **Document Version**

Published version

#### Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Li, J., Wang, Y., & Liu, L. (2020). *Responses of the terrestrial ecosystem productivity to droughts inside China* (Version 2). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23475686.v2

Published in Frontiers in Earth Science

#### Link to external publisher version

https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2020.00059

#### Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

| 1  | Responses of the terrestrial ecosystem productivity to                                                                         |  |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2  | droughts inside China                                                                                                          |  |
| 3  | Jianguo Li <sup>1,2*</sup> , Yi Wang <sup>2,3*</sup> , Lili Liu <sup>1</sup>                                                   |  |
| 4  | 1. School of Geography, Geomatics, and Planning, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou,                                            |  |
| 5  | Jiangsu 221116, China;                                                                                                         |  |
| 6  | 2. Department of Geography, School of Global Studies, University of Sussex, Falmer,                                            |  |
| 7  | Brighton, UK BN1 9QJ                                                                                                           |  |
| 8  | 3. Department of Earth System Science, Institute for Global Change Studies, Tsinghua                                           |  |
| 9  | University, Beijing 100084, China                                                                                              |  |
| 10 | Supplementary Materials                                                                                                        |  |
| 11 |                                                                                                                                |  |
| 12 | S1. Our CASA model                                                                                                             |  |
| 13 | The NPP inside China during the period of 1982-2012 is estimated using the CASA model (Zhu                                     |  |
| 14 | et al., 2006). The calculation model is detailed by the following two equations:                                               |  |
| 15 | $NPP(x,t) = APAR(x,t) \times \varepsilon(x,t) $ (1)                                                                            |  |
| 16 | $APAR(x,t) = SOL(x,t) \times FPAR(x,t) \times 0.5 $ <sup>(2)</sup>                                                             |  |
| 17 | where $NPP(x,t)$ is the NPP at pixel x (gC/m <sup>2</sup> /yr) at month t; $APAR(x,t)$ is the photosynthetically               |  |
| 18 | active radiation at month t and pixel x (gC/m <sup>2</sup> /month), and $\varepsilon(x, t)$ is the active light use efficiency |  |
| 19 | at month t and pixel x (gC/MJ). SOL(x,t) is the total solar radiation at month t and pixel x                                   |  |
| 20 | (MJ/m <sup>2</sup> /month). FPAR(x,t) is the fraction of photosynthetically active radiation at month t and                    |  |
| 21 | pixel x, representing the percentage of photosynthetically active radiation absorbed by plant.                                 |  |
| 22 | Different from the ordinary CASA model (Fang et al., 2003), our FPAR has been estimated using                                  |  |
| 23 | the linear relationship between FPAR and normalized vegetation index based on previous studies                                 |  |

(Hunt Raymond, 1994; Zhu et al., 2007). A factor of 0.5 is used to reflect the proportion of active solar radiation to total solar radiation. Also, in contrast to the ordinary CASA model, the maximum efficiency of light energy utilization of each vegetation type in China is determined from a previous modeling study (Zhu et al., 2006). Their model provides a more reliable estimate of Chinese NPP, and has been widely applied by other studies (Mu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2009). Furthermore, our potential evapotranspiration (PET) is determined using the Penman-Monteith method, which is verified as the optimum method to estimate China's PET (Yang et al., 2016).

31

#### S2. The meteorological and land cover dataset

32 All meteorological data downloaded from the Data Center of Chinese Meteorological Administration is imported into ArcGIS 10.2 software to get the location of each meteorological 33 34 station (see Fig. 1 in the article), and then interpolated to obtain maps of monthly precipitation, 35 monthly mean temperature, and monthly total solar radiation using the inverse distance weight method (Bartier and Keller, 1996). Land-cover images are from the SPOT-VGT site 36 (http://free.vgt.vito.be/) and the V005 MODIS Land Cover Dynamics (MCD12Q2) product 37 38 (https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/) based on the land-cover classification system of International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP). The coordinates, projection, and spatial resolution of 39 40 land-cover and other interpolation maps are consistent with the NDVI dataset.

41

#### S3. Two droughts' indices

The drought indices (SPI and SPEI) are originated from observed dataset from meteorological stations inside China (see Fig.1 in the article). Two drought indices at each station are obtained by using "spei" tool from "SPEI" package in R software (Beguería et al., 2014; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010), and then interpolated to produce SPI and SPEI maps using the inverse distance weight

| 46 | method (Bartier and Keller, 1996). The map projection and grid cell size of SPI and SPEI maps       |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 47 | are the same as the NPP map. According to the SPI calculation by Kumar et al. (2009), a negative    |
| 48 | SPI value represents less rainfall (dry conditions), whereas a positive SPI value means more        |
| 49 | rainfall (wet conditions). The smaller the SPI is, the severer the drought is. When the SPI is less |
| 50 | than -2, a severe drought occurs. Compared with the SPI, the SPEI considers the status of land      |
| 51 | surface PET, and is a relatively comprehensive drought index (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). The    |
| 52 | SPI and SPEI indices are characterised by multi-timescales, such as 3-, 6-, 9-, and 12-month (and   |
| 53 | longer) timescales. The drought status over a 3-month period can be identified by using the SPI3    |
| 54 | and SPEI3 images. The multi-timescale feature is useful in studying a response time or the lagging  |
| 55 | effect of an ecosystem to droughts (Beguería et al., 2014; Zarei and Eslamian, 2017). All our       |
| 56 | spatial analyses and statistics are performed by using R, ArcGIS 10.2 (ESRI, USA), and ENVI 5.3     |
| 57 | (ESRI, USA) software.                                                                               |

58 S4. Our statistical methods

#### 59 **S4.1 The drought frequency**

60 The drought frequency is defined as the ratio of the months of drought to the total months of61 observation period and is calculated as:

62 
$$P = \frac{D_{(t)}}{T} \times 100\%$$
(3)

63 where P is drought frequency (%),  $D_{(1)}$  is the total months at drought level t, and T is the total

64 months of observation. In this study, T equals to 372 months.

#### 65 **S4.2 The correlation analysis**

66 The correlation between the NPP and drought indices have been examined using Pearson's

67 method. The equation is:

68 
$$r = \frac{\sum (x_i - x)(y_i - y)}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2}}$$
(4)

69 where  $x_i$  is the monthly drought index (SPI/SPEI) and  $y_i$  is the monthly NPP.

#### 70 S4.3 The regression/trend analyses

K-slope is used to reflect the relative variation of monthly NPP per drought index changes, and
is calculated as:

73 
$$K_{Slope} = \frac{n \times \sum (x_i \times y_i) - \sum x_i \sum y_i}{n \times \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}$$
(5)

where  $k_{Stope}$  is the slope of the unary linear regression model; x<sub>i</sub> is the monthly NPP and y<sub>i</sub> is the monthly drought index; n is 372 (see Fig. 7 in the article). In order to determine the annual NPP trend (see Fig. 9 in the article), the same equation (5) is used. However, in the trend analysis, x<sub>i</sub> is the annual NPP from 1982 to 2012, and y<sub>i</sub> is time in year; n is 31.

## 78 S4.3 The contributions of the SPI/SPEI to China's NPPs across different 79 timescales

A unary linear regression model is constructed to determine the quantitative relationship between SPI/SPEI and China's NPP across three timescales (3-month,6-month and 12-month). The equation takes the form below:

 $y = ax + b \tag{6}$ 

84 where, *y* is NPP; x is the driving force, here is drought index (SPI/SPEI).

#### 85 S4.4 The contribution of droughts to China's monthly NPP variation

The coefficient of determination of the unary linear regression model (r-square) indicates the proportion of the variance of the dependent variable explaining the variance of independent variable, and can be used to represent the contribution level of drought on the NPP variation. The 89 formula is:

90 
$$R^{2} = (1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}}) \times 100\%$$
(7)

where  $R^2$  is the contribution level (%),  $SS_{res}$  is the sum of the squares of error of the unary linear regression model, and  $SS_{tot}$  is the sum squares. Formulas (3), (4), (5), and (6) were run on R version 3.4.2 software.

94

#### S5. The validation of our analyses

95 The drought indices are diverse (Hou et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2017). Compared with the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), the flexible timescales of the SPI and SPEI indices are beneficial 96 97 in assessing the relationships between drought and monthly NPP variability over multiple 98 timescales. The ecological responses of drought index are different among multiple timescales. 99 The SPEI1 and SPI1 indices are used to reflect the water distribution in land surface with less 100 significant impact on vegetation activity. However, at 6-month and longer timescales of the SPEI 101 and SPI indices can reflect the drying-wetting alteration and long-term water distribution, 102 resulting in a remarkable influence on vegetation activity (Mathbout et al., 2018). The correlation 103 between the SPI and SPEI is examined at 3-, 6- and 12-month timescales (see Fig. S1 in 104 supplementary material). The mean correlation coefficient is 0.57 at the 3-month timescale and 105 decreases to 0.55 at the 12-month timescale. Stronger relationships between the two drought 106 indices have been found in eastern China, suggesting that our results in those areas are more 107 reliable (see Fig. S1 in supplementary material). In addition, the SPEI is more sensitive than the 108 SPI in explaining the responses of the monthly NPP variation to drought in China due to larger 109 extent and stronger correlation of significant relationships between them (see Figs. 6 and 7 in the 110 article).





112 Fig. S1 Correlation coefficients between SPI and SPEI at (A) 3-month, (B) 6-month and (C)

113

#### 12-month timescales

114 The mean monthly SPEI3 in China were extracted during the study period (see Fig. S2), 115 revealing that drought usually occurs in summer. However, the humid periods during a given year 116 tends to shorten and the drought periods tends to increase, indicating that China's climate 117 generally tends to be dry, which is supported by Qian et al. (2014). Aridification during the summer has tended to aggravate sharply, especially in the past 15 years. In the summer of 1999, 118 119 the SPEI3 decreased to -1, suggesting that moderate drought appeared across China (see 120 supplementary material, Fig. S2). On the basis of Fig. S2A, the drought in northern China has 121 tended to increase during the study period, which will cause a huge risk to the future localized NPP in China. Although, according to Fig. 3B and Fig. 8 in the article, interannual NPP inside 122 123 China has tended to increase, a negative effect of water on the monthly NPP variation in southern China at the 3-month timescale has been found (see Fig. 7 in the article). This negative effect of 124

| 125 | them is probably caused by frequent heavy rainfall in the summer of southern China at the shorter     |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 126 | timescale, which however contributes little to interannual variability of the NPP in China. The       |
| 127 | increasing annual NPP trend inside China has been testified by many studies (Pei et al., 2013; Piao   |
| 128 | et al., 2005; Yuan et al., 2014). The main reasons are: 1) The advances in agricultural facilities,   |
| 129 | breeding, fertilization, and management all support the ecosystem production of farmland; 2) The      |
| 130 | NPP of natural ecosystems (forest, grass, and high mountains) continue to increase due to global      |
| 131 | warming and nitrogen deposition, which can compensate for the NPP losses caused by flooding           |
| 132 | (Zhan et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015); 3) The aridification does not reach a threshold that restricts |
| 133 | vegetation activity in southern China, where radiation has been demonstrated as the main driving      |
| 134 | factor of vegetation activity (Nemani et al., 2003).                                                  |



136 Fig. S2 Variations of SPEI3 index in China from 1982 to 2012. (A) For Northern China, (B) For

137 Southern China, and (C) For the whole China. The regression lines are shown together with the

actual SPEI3 time series in each panel.

#### 139 **S6. Chinese forestry data (1950-2013)**

138

To find out what contribute to this long-term and substantial increase of annual total NPP inside
China, we have downloaded the forest inventory data from Chinese Forestry Administration

| 142 | Government website (http://www.forestry.gov.cn/portal/xdly/s/5197/content-931245.html) to       |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 143 | investigate the changes of Chinese forest coverage (Fig. s3B) and farmland coverage (Fig. s3A), |
| 144 | based on data from a previous study (Liu et al., 2014), and our derived NPP for corresponding   |
| 145 | plant functional types (Fig. s3C). Our results have showed that farmland cover has increased 5% |
| 146 | (Fig. s3A), and forest cover has almost doubled from 1982 to 2012 (Fig. s3B), and the           |
| 147 | corresponding forest NPP has increased from 1452.3 TgC to 1565.19 TgC during this period (Fig.  |
| 148 | s3C). In addition, the farmland NPP has also increased for 896.51 TgC to 1066.53 TgC during the |
| 149 | same period (Fig. s3C). We believe the long-term and substantial increase of total NPP inside   |
| 150 | China is linked to the government sponsored reforestation and the ever expanding agriculture    |
| 151 | activities over the last decades (Wang et al., 2017).                                           |



152

153 Insert Fig.s3 The timeseries of (A) Chinese farmland (Liu et al., 2014), (B) Chinese forestry (see

#### supplementary material Table S1), and (C) Our derived NPP for corresponding plant functional

155

156



types.

| Periods between 1950 to 2013 | Forest Area (km <sup>2</sup> ) | Forest Coverage (%) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1950~1962                    | 2120000                        | 8.90                |
| 1973~1976                    | 2576000                        | 12.70               |
| 1977~1981                    | 2671000                        | 12.00               |
| 1984~1988                    | 2674000                        | 12.98               |
| 1989~1993                    | 2629000                        | 13.92               |
| 1994~1998                    | 2633000                        | 16.55               |
| 1999~2003                    | 2849000                        | 18.21               |
| $2004 {\sim} 2008$           | 3059000                        | 20.36               |
| 2009~2013                    | 3126000                        | 21.63               |

160

161

#### 162 **Reference:**

- 163 Bartier, P.M., Keller, C.P. (1996). Multivariate interpolation to incorporate thematic surface data using
- 164 inverse distance weighting (IDW). Computers & Geosciences, 22, 795-799.
- 165 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0098-3004(96)00021-0
- 166 Beguería, S., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Reig, F., Latorre, B. (2014). Standardized precipitation
- 167 evapotranspiration index (SPEI) revisited: parameter fitting, evapotranspiration models, tools,
- 168 datasets and drought monitoring. International Journal of Climatology 34, 3001-3023.
- 169 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.3887
- 170 Fang, J., Piao, S., Field, C.B., Pan, Y., Guo, Q., Zhou, L., Peng, C., Tao, S. (2003). Increasing net
- 171 primary production in China from 1982 to 1999. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment., 1,
- 172 293-297. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3868089
- Hou, Y., He, Y., Liu, Q., Tian, G. (2007). The research on the drought indice. Chinese Journal of
- 174 Ecology, 26, 892-897 ( in chinese).
- 175 Kumar, M.N., Murthy, C.S., Sai, M.V.R.S., Roy, P.S. (2009). On the use of Standardized Precipitation

- 176 Index (SPI) for drought intensity assessment. Meteorological Applications, 16, 381-389.
- 177 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/met.136</u>
- 178 Liu, J., Kuang, W., Zhang, Z., Xu, X., Qin, Y., Ning, J., Zhou, W., Zhang, S., Li, R., Yan, C. (2014).
- 179 Spatiotemporal characteristics, patterns, and causes of land-use changes in China since the late
- 180 1980s. Journal of Geographical Sciences 24, 195-210.
- 181 https://xs.scihub.ltd/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-014-1082-6
- 182 Mathbout, S., Lopez-Bustins, J.A., Martin-Vide, J., Bech, J., Rodrigo, F.S. (2018). Spatial and temporal
- 183 analysis of drought variability at several time scales in Syria during 1961–2012. Atmospheric
- 184 Research, 200, 153-168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.09.016
- 185 Mu, S., Zhou, S., Chen, Y., Li, J., Ju, W., Odeh, I. (2013). Assessing the impact of restoration-induced
- 186 land conversion and management alternatives on net primary productivity in Inner Mongolian
- 187 grassland, China. Global Planet Change, 108, 29-41.
- 188 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.06.007
- 189 Nemani, R., R. (2013). Climate-Driven Increases in Global Terrestrial Net Primary Production from
- 190 1982 to 1999. Science, 300, 1560-1563. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1082750
- 191 Pei, F., Li, X., Liu, X., Lao, C. (2013). Assessing the impacts of droughts on net primary productivity in
- 192 China. Journal of Environmental Management 114, 362-371.
- 193 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.10.031
- 194 Piao, S., Fang, J., Zhou, L., Zhu, B., Tan, K., Tao, S. (2005). Changes in vegetation net primary
- 195 productivity from 1982 to 1999 in China. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 19, 1605-1622.
- 196 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002274
- 197 Qian, C., Yu, J.Y., Chen, G. (2014). Decadal summer drought frequency in China: the increasing

- 198 influence of the Atlantic Multi-decadal Oscillation. Environmental Research Letters, 9, 124004.
- 199 https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/12/124004
- 200 Hunt, Raymond, E. (1994). Relationship between woody biomass and PAR conversion efficiency for
- 201 estimating net primary production from NDVI. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 15,
- 202 1725-1729. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169408954203
- 203 Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.I. (2010). A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive
- 204 to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. Journal of Climate,
- 205 23, 1696-1718. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1</u>
- 206 Wang, W., Ouyang, W., Hao, F., Liu, G. (2017). Temporal-spatial variation analysis of
- 207 agricultural biomass and its policy implication as an alternative energy in northeastern China.
- 208 Energy Policy, 109, 337-349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.06.068
- 209 Yang, Q., Li, M., Zheng, Z., Ma, Z. (2017). Regional applicability of seven meteorological drought
- 210 indices in China. Science China: Earth Sciences, 60, 745-760.
- 211 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-016-5133-
- 212 Yang, Y., Y. Cui, Y., Luo, X., Lyu, S., Traore, S. Khan, Wang. W. (2016). Short-term forecasting of
- 213 daily reference evapotranspiration using the Penman-Monteith model and public weather forecasts,
- 214 Agricultural Water Management, 177, 329-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.08.020
- 215 Yuan, Q., Wu, S., Zhao, D., Dai, E., Chen, L., Zhang, L. (2014). Modeling net primary productivity of
- the terrestrial ecosystem in China from 1961 to 2005. Journal of Geographical Sciences 24, 3-17.
- 217 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-014-1069-3
- 218 Zarei, A.R., Eslamian, S. (2017). Trend assessment of precipitation and drought index (SPI) using
- 219 parametric and non-parametric trend analysis methods (case study: Arid regions of southern Iran).

- International Journal of Hydrology Science & Technology 7, 12.
  https://doi.org/10.1504/IJHST.2017.080957
- 222 Yu, G. (2015). Inorganic nitrogen wet deposition: Evidence from the North-South Transect of Eastern
- 223 China. Environmental Pollution, 204, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.03.016
- 224 Zhang, X., Huang, X., Zhao, X., Lu, R., Lai, L. (2009). Effects of land use change on vegetation carbon
- storage in the taihu lake region. Journal of Natural Resources 2 24, 1343-1353 (in Chinese).
- 226 Zhu, J., He, N., Wang, Q., Yuan, G., Wen, D., Yu, G., Jia, Y. (2015). The composition, spatial patterns,
- and influencing factors of atmospheric wet nitrogen deposition in Chinese terrestrial ecosystems.
- 228 Sci Total Environ. 511, 777-785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.12.038
- 229 Zhu, W., Pan, Y., He, H., Yu, D., Hu, H. (2006). Simulation of maximum light use efficiency for some
- typical vegetation types in China. Chinese Science Bulletin, 51, 457-463(in Chinese).
- 231 Zhu, W., Pan, Y., Zhang, J. (2007). Estimation of net primary productivity of chinese terrestrial
- vegetation based on remote sensing. Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology 31, 413-424 (in Chinese).