

Sussex Research

Evidence for distinct genetic and environmental influences on fear acquisition and extinction

K L Purves, G Krebs, T McGregor, E Constantinou, Kathryn Lester, T J Barry, M G Craske, K S Young, G Breen, T C Eley

Publication date

12-06-2023

Licence

This work is made available under the CC BY 4.0 licence and should only be used in accordance with that licence. For more information on the specific terms, consult the repository record for this item.

Document Version

Published version

Citation for this work (American Psychological Association 7th edition)

Purves, K. L., Krebs, G., McGregor, T., Constantinou, E., Lester, K., Barry, T. J., Craske, M. G., Young, K. S., Breen, G., & Eley, T. C. (2021). *Evidence for distinct genetic and environmental influences on fear acquisition and extinction* (Version 2). University of Sussex. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23482601.v2

Published in

Psychological Medicine

Link to external publisher version

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721002580

Copyright and reuse:

This work was downloaded from Sussex Research Open (SRO). This document is made available in line with publisher policy and may differ from the published version. Please cite the published version where possible. Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. For more information on this work, SRO or to report an issue, you can contact the repository administrators at sro@sussex.ac.uk. Discover more of the University's research at https://sussex.figshare.com/

Online supplementary material for manuscript:

Evidence for distinct genetic and environmental influences on fear acquisition and extinction

K. L. Purves^{1,2}, PhD., G. Krebs^{1,2}, DClinPsy., T. McGregor¹, MSc., E. Constantinou¹, PhD, K.J. Lester³, DPhil., T.J. Barry⁴, PhD., M.G. Craske⁵, PhD., K. S. Young¹, DPhil., G. Breen^{1,6}, PhD., T. C. Eley¹, PhD.

Supplementary figures and tables


Supplementary figure 1. Number of participants invited and successfully completing the experiment

E-mail invitation sent	5934	Y
Opted-in	2990	
Met screening criteria	2930	
Began task	2707	
Continued beyond break	2664	
Did not interrupt experiment	2554	
Did not remove headphones	1982	
Retained volume > 50%	1937	

Sample retained for analyses	1937
------------------------------	------


The figure shows the sample size from recruitment to final analyses. 'Opting-in' to the study involved downloading and completing informed consent procedures. 'Continued beyond break' indicates that participants returned after the ten-minute break between acquisition and extinction. 'Did not interrupt the experiment' indicates that the participants did not exit or close the app during the task. 'Did not remove headphones' indicates that they did not report removing their headphones during the task. 'Retained volume > 50%' refers to the phone volume during the task in the fear acquisition phase.

Supplementary figure 2. Pre-task and setup instructions




Screenshots of the initial pre-task set-up instructions for the Fear Learning and Anxiety Response (FLARe) app in the order which they are seen by participants. Participants navigate by clicking the buttons labelled 'next' at the bottom of the screen. Where a button is grey, participants are unable to click it to proceed to the next screen. This indicates that some action is required by the participant in order to progress. These screens are seen after consent and screening procedures, but before the task begins.

Supplementary figure 3. Fear conditioning task instructions



Screenshots of the task instructions as they appear during participation in the Fear Learning and Anxiety Response (FLARe) app. Screens are shown in the order which they appear. Participants navigate by clicking the buttons labelled 'next' at the bottom of the screen. Screens commenced immediately after the initial set-up was completed, and the fear conditioning task began after the final screen.

Supplementary figure 4. Trivariate correlated factors model showing genetic and environmental influences on the initial development, consolidation and extinction of fear conditioning (full ACE model)



Supplementary table 1. Demographic and sample characteristics

	Monozygotic	Dizygotic	Whole
	twins	twins	sample
Total sample size (n complete pairs)	791	1145	1937
	(250)	(288)	(538)
n female	559	766	1325
(%)	(71%)	(67%)	(68%)
Mean age	23.70	23.51	23.67
[range]	[22.20 – 25.17]	[22.20 – 25.20]	[22.20 – 25.17]
Self-reported diagnosis of an anxiety disorder (%)	149	206	355
	(19%)	(18%)	(18%)
Self-reported diagnosis of a depressive disorder (%)	122	175	297
	(15%)	(15%)	(15%)

Table shows the total number of complete twin pairs and singletons included in the study by zygosity. Mean age, proportion of the sample that are female and proportion of the sample with self-reported diagnoses of any depressive disorder (major depressive disorder or post-natal depression) and any anxiety disorder (generalised anxiety disorder, social anxiety disorder, specific phobia, panic disorder or agoraphobia) are presented for each group.

Note that no participants self-report a diagnosis of Obsessional-Compulsive Disorders or Post traumatic Stress Disorder. None of the differences between monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs were statistically different.

Supplementary table 2. Goodness of fit statistics for the trivariate twin analysis of all variables

			Model f			Dir	Difference in fit	
Model	Description	Sources of variance	X ²	df	AIC	Δχ ²	∆df	р
ACE	Full model	A ¹ C ¹ E ¹ - A ² C ² E ² - A ³ C ³ E ³	21194.26	5898	9398.26			
AE	Constrain C to zero	A ¹ E ¹ - A ² E ² - A ³ E ³	21194.26	5904	9386.26	0.004	6	1.00

Table showing the goodness of fit statistics for the trivariate twin analyses of all variables. A, Additive genetic effects; C, Shared environment effects; E, non-shared environment effects. $\chi 2$, chi-squared likelihood ratio test; df, degrees of freedom; AIC, Akaike's Information Criterion; $\Delta \chi 2$, difference in chi-squared likelihood ratio between models; Δdf , difference in degrees of freedom between models; p, significance test for the $\Delta \chi 2$. p < 0.05 would indicate a significantly worse fit of the model.

Supplementary text

Trial presentation rules

During the fear conditioning acquisition trials, the following rules for trial order applied: The first two trials were a CS+ and CS- (order randomised across participants), the first CS+ was always paired with the aversive US and there were no more than two consecutive trials with the same CS. Between trials, an intertrial interval of a random duration of 2, 2.5 or 3 seconds occurred, during which a black cross was shown on a white screen. During extinction, The first two trials were a CS+ and CS- (order randomised across participants) and there were no more than two consecutive trials with the same CS.